

Journal of Policy Research, 9(3), 52-62.

https://jprpk.com

https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.8360981

Unravelling the Threads of Abusive Supervision: Dynamics, Antecedents, Costs, and Consequences (2000-2023)

Hafiz Ahmad Ashraf¹, Javed Iqbal², Waqar Munir³, Amjad Islam⁴, Farhat Hussain Bazmi⁵

Abstract

This qualitative research aims to elaborate on the concept of abusive supervision and understand the dynamics, antecedents, cost and consequences of abusive supervision through a literature review of previous studies from 2000 to 2023. The second objective, of this research to carried out the review of previous literature from the areas of abusive supervision, destructive leadership and deviant workplace behavior to identify the gap for future research. In addition, the paper also aims to provide an understanding and guidelines to researchers, managers and practitioners on the topic of abusive supervision and allied areas. This qualitative study is based on the secondary source of data collected from various journals and websites through Web of Science, Google Scholar and Scopus. This paper contributes to the emerging literature on abusive supervision by identifying key trends in emerging research and edging the outlines of empirical studies to prepare their research framework.

Keywords: Dark leadership, Abusive Supervision, Destructive leadership, Counterproductive Workplace Behavior, Unethical Leadership

1. Introduction

Counterproductive workplace behaviors (CWB) are those behaviors that intentionally harm an organization and its members (Harris & Ogbonna, 2014). These destructive behaviors have prevalent costs for organization (Iqbal et al., 2023). CWB is accountable for business failures. Abusive supervision (AS) is one of the imperative factors of deviant behavior (Ahmad et al., 2023) and has been become imperious deviant behaviour (Appelbaum et al., 2005; Tepper, 2000; Tepper, 2007). AS is also affecting the health of organization and wellbeing of the organization (Hussain, 2020; Tepper, 2000; Tepper, 2007; Yildiz et al., 2015). AS a vibrant concern for research due to its growing level (Tepper, 2002; Tepper, 2007; Yildiz et al., 2015). For example, AS may mistreat subordinates to produce high performance (Tepper, 2007). According to research/literature review on AS, different terminology interchangeably has been used about AS and definitions by the various scholars and researchers are shown in Table 1 given below. The previous search does not devolve from a systemic review to a unifying theoretical framework in future. The present study provides a base for future researchers to establish theoretical frameworks on AS and CWB. In 2007, Tepper 2007 published a literature review of research on AS. Almost 62 studies on AS have been published since 2007. In 2013 another review of research on AS was conducted through meta-analysis by (Martinko et al., 2013) in two periods such as (2000 to 2007) and (2008 to 2012). Research by Martinko et al. (2013) has been done through the Psych Info and Pro Quest databases. According to Martinko et al. (2013), numerous empirical studies on ion have published between 2008 and 2012 identified 62 new studies. This observation stands out, as it indicates a marked surge in research activity after the release of Tepper's seminal research review in 2007. Tepper's review highlighted the existence of 20 articles that were published during the preceding eight-year period (2000-2007) (Martinko et al., 2013). Mackey et al. (2015) conducted Meta-analysis of AS derived by also considering thoroughly getting the estimates for the relationships between AS and deviant workplace behavior and allied areas, transformational leadership relationships and the outcome of other latent variables. Most recently, Yu et al. (2020) published literature review of one specific industry, such as hospitality and tourism. It creates a reason for conducting further research on systemic review of literature in AS to grasp the knowledge that this systemic review is planned.

This notable surge highlights the importance of the literature review to an expanding community of researchers. This influx is not a shortcoming of the existing studies but rather signifies the ongoing need to delve deeper into dynamic AS, suggesting ample room for further exploration and understanding. The present study focuses on systemic review of AS associated with latent variables such as independent variables, dependent variables, moderating or mediating variables, and how they linked with each other. This study serves as an extension and elaboration of a prior literature review involving qualitative studies. Its primary aim is to build upon the existing review by systematically presenting a tabulated compilation of AS studies conducted across various countries from 2000 to 2023. Here, it is pertinent to mention that there is a growing interest area among researchers and scholars; most of the studies have been conducted in developed county of the world while there is a need for study on AS in developing country context like Pakistan (Asghar & Ahmad,

¹ Corresponding Author, Department of Business Administration, University of Central Punjab, Gujranwala Campus, Punjab, Pakistan, ucpahmad@gmail.com

² Department of Business Administration, Bahria University Lahore Campus, Lahore, Punjab, Pakistan, javedlatif2016@gmail.com

³ PhD Scholar, Faculty of Economics and Business, University of Malaysia, Sarawak campus, Malaysia, waqarmunir7126@gmail.com

⁴ PhD Scholar, Department of Business Administration, Bahria University Lahore Campus, Lahore, Pakistan, <u>amjadswaati@yahoo.com</u>

⁵ Department of Mathematics, University of Gujrat, Gujrat, Pakistan, sidhandian@gmail.com

2017). In 2017, Tepper (2017) contributed an annual review focused on the subject of AS. According to Tepper's findings, the research about AS experienced a consistent upsurge from 2001 to 2011, and in 2015, the momentum continued with over 150 papers being published. These papers explored the correlations between AS, its potential precursors, and the ensuing outcomes (Tepper, 2017). A limited view on Bullying to define the effect of bullying at workplace published by (Kerse & Babadag, 2019).

Regarding the research methodology of the present study, the investigation involved conducting electronic searches across databases encompassing published studies on employee behavior such as AS, destructive leadership, bullying, unethical leadership, counterproductive work behavior (CWB), unethical behavior, and deviant workplace behavior. These searches were constrained to scientific papers and articles that were accessible in their entirety and published in the English language from January 2000 to March 2023. The query was AS, undermining supervision, destructive leadership, bullying, deviant workplace behavior and CWB. Systemic literature review methodology/ technique and pattern adopted by Iqbal *et al.* (2017) his systemic review on AS was used. Empirical and review articles were selected for the this study and focusing on AS. The given below Table 3 shows the summary of almost all the studies relevant to AS and allied areas from 2000 to 2023.

Table 1: Literature Review of Terminologies and Definitions of AS

Terminology	Author(s) and Year	Definition/ description	
Abusive Supervision(AS) Tepper, (2000)		AS is characterized by the way subordinates perceive the	
		frequency with which their supervisors consistently display	
		hostile behaviors.	
Abusive Supervision	Pradhan and Jena,	AS is composed of four separate perspectives. To begin with, it	
	(2017)	involves subordinates assessing their supervisor's conduct	
		Secondly, it entails a supervisor's unfriendly conduct towards	
		subordinates. Thirdly, abusive behavior needs to be intention	
		and done willingly. Lastly, AS excludes physical actions.	
Despotic Leadership	Ahmad et al. (2023).	Exhibiting assertive and dictatorial behavior, self-serving, and	
		mistreatment of their subordinates	
Toxic leadership Bolton & Grawin		Under toxic leadership, employees might engage in workplace	
	(2011)	deviance and threat to the overall well-being of the organization	
Supervisor Aggression	Schat et al. (2006)	Supervisor aggression behavior refers to actions deliberately	
Behavior		aimed at causing physical or psychological harm to a worker or	
		workers within the context of their work-related environment.	
Unethical Leadership Brown (2008)		The behaviors and choices exhibited by organizational leaders	
		that either breach legal regulations or defy moral standards	

Table 2: Literature Review of Costs and Consequences of AS

Tuble 21 Entertuare Review of Costs and Consequences of ris			
Source and Country	Cost and Consequences of AS		
Asghar and Ahmad (2017)	In Pakistan, approximately 20% of nursing staff have reported experiencing offensive		
	behaviors		
Ashfaq et al. (2013)	15% of employees engaged in deviant behaviors due to AS.		
Schat et al. (2006)	Around 16 % of US workers have stated to face AS, resultantly incurred nearly \$23.8		
	billion annual organizational costs.		
Chappell and Martino,	In Australia, workplace bullying, including supervisory abuse, incurs costs to employers		
(2006)	that fall within the range of AUD \$6 to 13 billion.		
Hussain (2020)	Within Pakistan, survey data indicates that 15% of employees in the country have		
	experienced abuse. Additionally, men are more susceptible to threats and abuse, with 16%		
	reporting such experiences, compared to 13% of women.		
Tepper et al. (2006)	The impact on organizations, regarding health-related issues, employee turnover,		
	translates to an estimated cost of nearly \$23.8 billion.		
Tepper, (2007)	In United States 16% of the employees are affected due to deviance behaviour and		
	approximately 14% of all workers are subject to AS by their managers.		
Cortina, Magley, Williams	In the USA, over the past five years, 71% of respondents indicated encountering incivility		
and Langhout (2001)	within public sector organizations. Among these respondents, 6% reported personally		
	experiencing these negative behaviors.		
Sultan et al. (2020)	Within Pakistan, approximately 15% of employees encounter instances of verbal abuse,		

	non-verbal mistreatment, or threats in their workplace. Furthermore, in this context, men appear to be more susceptible to experiencing threats and abuse, with a prevalence of 16%, in contrast to women who exhibit a lower vulnerability at 13%.
Burton et al. (2012)	The families of employees who were abused by their bosses at work reported higher incidence of undermining at home.
Kemper (2016)	Abusive supervision stands as a significant and escalating issue that is affecting modern-day organizations, impacting as many as 16% of employees.
Khokhar & Rehman (2017) Recent unethical behavior by leaders in both the public and corporate sectors has led to scandals that have eroded stakeholders' confidence.	
Tepper et al. (2004)	Between 10 to 16% of American employee experience AS on a regular basis.
Tepper et al. (2006)	Approximately annual \$23.8 billion incurred organizational costs in result of abusive supervision.
Zapf, Einarsen, Hoel and Vartia, (2011)	Recent research concluded that around 10% of employees are faced bullying at least once.
Namie and Namie, (2000)	Among those who undergo workplace bullying, a substantial 89% identify leaders as the primary perpetrators of such behavior.
Einarsen et al. (2013)	AS can lead to adverse consequences for subordinates and employees. These negative outcomes encompass feelings of unfairness, increased aggression within the workplace, heightened psychological distress, reduced performance, lower job satisfaction, and a tendency towards withdrawal and elevated turnover rates.
Vickers (2014)	In the United States, approximately 15% of workers are impacted by toxic leaders at any given moment, and more than half of all employees encounter a toxic event at some point during their professional lives.
McAvoy and Murtagh (2003)	In the United States, a notable 37% of employees report instances of workplace bullying. This pattern of consistency extends to the United Kingdom as well
Zapf et al. (2011)	Around 3% of employees undergo the distressing and enduring impact of severe and prolonged bullying.

Table 3: Summary of Research Published (2000 to 2023) in the Area of Abusive Supervision

Source of Article	Study Constructs and	Moderator/ Mediator	Theoretical
	Antecedents		Framework
Abid and Abid (2017)	AS on TI	Psychological contract breach	Theory of
		(Mediator)	psychological
			contract
Ahmad et al. (2016)	AS on job satisfaction and Tl.	power distance (Moderator)	NA
Asghar and Ahmad (2017)	AS on workplace deviance behavior	interactional justice (Mediator)	N/A
Anwar (2017)	AS and Tl"	Self-Identity (Mediating) and self-salience (Moderating)	SET
Ahmed and Muchiri (2014)	AS to employees' OCB and Tl	Psy Contract Breach (Mediator) and POS(Moderator)	NA
Aksu (2016)	Deviance and leadership	NA	Theory of multifactor leadership
Alias et al. (2013)	individual deviance	Job satisfaction (Mediator)	SET
Albashiti et al. (2021)	despotic leadership and work outcomes	Psychological distress (Intervening variable)	Conservation resource theory and Unfolding theory of Turnover
Aryee et al. (2008)	AS and contextual performance	Emotional exhaustion (Mediator) and work unit structure (Moderator)	Conservation resources theory

Aryee et al. (2007)	outcomes of AS	Interactional and procedural justice (Mediators)	NA
Asghar and Ahmad (2017)	AS on deviant behavior"	Interactional justice (Mediator)	NA
Asghar & Sultana (2017)	AS and Creativity of Staff	Knowledge Sharing (Mediator)	NA
Ashraf & Ashraf (2016)	AS on Interpersonal Conflict	Locus of Control (Moderator)	NA
Avey et al. (2015)	AS and Citizenship and Deviance"	Job embeddedness (Moderator)	NA
An and wang (2015)	AS and Counterproductive Work Behavior	Negative Affectivity (Moderator)	SET
Bakar et al. (2016)	AS and workplace deviant behaviour	Moral disengagement (Mediator)	Moral disengagement
			theory and SET
Bamberger and Bacharach (2006)	AS and subordinate personality	Somatic stress (Mediator)	Employee resistance perspective
Bowling & Michel	AS cause of abuse in	Self -directed, supervisior-directed, and	Attribution
(2012)	subordinates	Organisation- directed attribution	theory
Brees et al. (2016)	AS and subordinate personality	NA	NA
Burton et al. (2012)	workplace Stress and AS	Supervisor exercise level (Moderator)	NA
Cao (2015)	AS and Work-Family Conflict"	Emotional Exhaustion (Mediator)	COR
Carlson et al. (2011)	AS and subordinates	Surface acting (Mediator)	COR
Carlson et al. (2011).	AS and work family conflict	NA	NA
Chan & McAllister (2013)	Conceptual Paper on AS	NA	NA
Chen & Wang (2017)	AS and employees Personality	Supervisory trust and self-efficacy (Mediators)	Social behaviour model
Eissa and Lester (2017)	Empirical study on AS S	Supervisor personality (Moderator)	Affective events theory
Etodike et al. (2017)	Empirical study on AS		Role stressors theory and Retaliation theory
Faldetta (2020)	AS and workplace deviance	negative direct non-balanced reciprocity	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
Farasat and Ziaaddini (2013)	Review paper on AS	NA	SET
Hamid et al. (2016)	AS and workplace deviance"	Spiritual intelligence (Moderator)	NA
Haar et al. (2016)	AS and Tl	POS(Mediator)	NA
Han et al. (2015)	AS and creativity	Employee deprivation (Mediators)	NA
Hon et al. (2016)	trickle-down effect of AS	power distance and traditional cultures (Moderator)	NA
Hussain and Donghong (2018).	AS on employees' behaviors	Family motivation (Moderator) and Intrinsic motivation (Mediator)	NA
Hussain et al. (2020)	AS on employees' TI	Intrinsic motivation	SET

Jalail (2017) AS on employee silence Avoidance orientation (Meditator) and LMX (Moderator) Jiana et al. (2012) AS and employees' self-esteem (Mediating) Performance Employees' organizational tenure and employees' proactive personality (Moderators) Kim, Lee and Yun (2016) AS and individual factors (Earning goal and self-enhancement (Moderators) Lam et al. (2017) emotional exhaustion and AS subordinate performance (Moderators) COR Meallister et al. (2017) AS and students' creativity (Mediator) Meng et al. (2016) AS and students' creativity (Mediator) Morales et al. (2016) AS and students' creativity (Moderator) AS and subordinates (As and Employees) AS and subordinates (As and Employees) AS and Job Dissatisfaction (Moderators) Conceptual study on AS (As and Emotional Exhaustion (As and Emotional Exhaustion) AS and subordinates (As and Emotional Exhaustion) AS and subordinates (As and Emotional Exhaustion) AS and Job Dissatisfaction (Moderators) Couyang et al. (2017) AS and proactive behavior (Moderators) Couyang et al. (2017) AS and proactive behavior (Moderator) Park et al. (2017) AS and employee deviance (Moderator) Park et al. (2017) AS and comployee deviance (Moderator) Park et al. (2017) AS and comployee deviance (Moderator) Park et al. (2017) AS and comployee deviance (Moderator) Park et al. (2017) AS and comployee (As and Employee (As and Employee (As and Employee (As and Employee)) Samantha (2016) AS in the workplace (As and Employee) Samantha (2016) AS in the workplace (As and Employee) Samantha (2016) AS and Devraizational (As and Subordinates) (As and workplace deviance (As and Barbarotor) (As and subordinates) (As			(Moderator)	
LMX (Moderator) Self-esteem (Mediating) NA	Iqbal (2017)	AS on deviant behavior	Islamic work ethic (Moderator)	SET
Jama et al. (2012) AS and employees' performance Scil-esteem (Mediating) NA	Jalil (2017)	AS on employee silence		NA
Employees proactive personality (Moderators) COR (Moderators)	Jiana et al. (2012)		self-esteem (Mediating)	NA
Kim, Lee and Yun (2016)	Jiang et al. (2015)	AS and employee satisfaction	employees' proactive personality	Social cognitive theory
Lam et al. (2017) emotional exhaustion and AS subordinate performance (Moderators) COR McAllister et al. AS and job tension" self-regulation (Mediator) depletion theory (Mediator) depletion theory Meng et al. (2017) AS and students' creativity LMX and motivation (Mediator) SET Morales et al. (2016) Conceptual study on AS NA Nascer et al. (2016) AS and Emotional Exhaustion Type A Personality (Moderator) Trait activation theory of emotion experience and respond experience and respond (Moderators) AS and Job Dissatisfaction Feedback avoidance (Moderators) Ouyang et al. (2017) AS and proactive behavior Subordinate gender (Moderator) theory (Moderator) Park et al. (2017) AS and employee deviance Power distance (Moderator) NA Ethical Theory Predhan and Jena AS on employee's TI Meaningful work (Moderator) NA Ethical Theory Learning Samantha (2016) AS and Proactive Dehavior Meaningful work (Moderator) NA Sand bard Arif AS and Organizational Employee silence (Mediator) COR Learning Samantha (2016) AS in the workplace NA NA NA Schaubroeck et al. AS and per respect Group potency (Moderators) NA Senduptore et al. (2017) Learning Burnout Senduptore et al. (2017) AS and Organizational Psyc breach of contract (Mediator) theory Shabeen et al. (2017) AS and Organizational Cronyism (Mediator) Reciprocity theory Shabeen et al. (2017) AS and Organizational Psyc breach of contract (Mediator) theory That et al. (2018) AS and work Behaviors Personality (Moderator) Reciprocity theory Sunday (2014) workplace deviance and powerlessness Thau et al. (2008) AS and workplace deviance Management style (moderator) uncertainty management theory Triner et al. (2010) interpersonal deviance NA Management style (moderator) Management theory Triner et al. (2007) Empirical study on AS Personality (Moderator) NA Employees' intention to quit (Moderator) nee theory	Kim, Lee and Yun (2016)	AS and individual factors	learning goal and self-enhancement	COR
Meng et al. (2017)	Lam et al. (2017)	emotional exhaustion and AS	subordinate performance (Moderators)	COR
Morales et al. (2016) Conceptual study on AS NA NA Nascer et al. (2016) AS and Emotional Exhaustion theory Type A Personality (Moderator) Trait activation theory Oh & Farh (2017) AS and subordinates experience and respond NA Theory of emotion Qian et al. (2017) AS and Job Dissatisfaction Feedback avoidance (Moderators) NA Ouyang et al. (2015) AS and proactive behavior Subordinate gender (Moderator) Social identity theory Park et al. (2017). AS and employee deviance Power distance (Moderator) NA Peterson (2002) workplace deviance NA Ethical Theory Pradhan and Jena (2017) AS and Organizational Employee silence (Mediator) COR (2017) Learning Employee silence (Mediator) COR Samantha (2016) AS in the workplace NA NA Schaubroeck et al. (2016) AS in the workplace NA NA Shazad and Mehmood (2012) Organizational cynicism Burnout (Mediator) SET and equity theory Shakeen et al. (2017) AS and Organizational cronyism (Mediator) Psychological cronyism (Mediator)<	McAllister et al. (2017)		(Mediator)	SET and Ego depletion theory
Naseer et al. (2016) AS and Emotional Exhaustion Oh & Farh (2017) AS and subordinates experience and respond Qian et al. (2017) AS and Job Dissatisfaction Qian et al. (2018) AS and Job Dissatisfaction Ouyang et al. (2015) AS and proactive behavior Couyang et al. (2017) AS and employee deviance Peterson (2002) AS and employee deviance NA Couterson Couyang at al. (2017) AS and employee deviance NA Couterson Couyang et al. (2017) AS and employee deviance NA Couterson Couyang et al. (2017) AS and employee deviance NA Couterson Couyang et al. (2017) AS and employee deviance NA Couterson Couyang et al. (2017) AS and employee deviance NA Couterson Couyang et al. (2017) AS and employee deviance NA Couterson Couyang et al. (2017) AS and employee deviance NA Couterson Couyang et al. (2017) AS and employee deviance NA Couterson Couyang et al. (2017) AS and employee deviance NA Couterson Couyang et al. (2017) AS and employee deviance NA Couterson Couyang et al. (2017) AS and organizational Couyang et al. (2017) Couyang et al. (2017) AS and Organizational Couyang et al. (2018) AS and Work Behaviors Couyang et al. (2018) AS and Work Behaviors Couyang et al. (2018) AS and workplace deviance and powerlessness Chau et al. (2010) Interpersonal deviance Debavior Couyang et al. (2007) Tepper (2000) AS and subordinates' Organization deviance Couyang et al. (2007) Couyang et al. (2009) AS and intentions to quit Couyang exclassing ender Couyang ender Couyang ender Couyang et al. (2009) AS and intentions to quit Couyang exclassing ender Couyang e	Meng et al. (2017)	•	LMX and motivation (Mediator)	SET
Content Cont	Morales et al. (2016)			
experience and respond Feedback avoidance NA	Naseer et al. (2016)	AS and Emotional Exhaustion	Type A Personality (Moderator)	
Ouyang et al. (2015) AS and proactive behavior Subordinate gender (Moderator) theory Park et al. (2017). AS and employee deviance Power distance (Moderator) NA Peterson (2002) workplace deviance NA Ethical Theory Pradhan and Jena ASon employee's TI Meaningful work (Moderator) NA Saqib and Arif AS and Organizational Employee silence (Mediator) COR (2017) Learning COR Samantha (2016) AS in the workplace NA NA (2016) NA Schaubroeck et al. AS and peer respect Group potency (Moderators) NA (2017) Shazad and Mehmood Organizational cynicism Burnout (Mediator) Shazad and Mehmood (2012) (Mediator) Psyc breach of contract (Mediator) Psyc breach of contract (Mediator) Psyc breach of contract (Mediator) Personality (Moderator) Personality (Moderator) Reciprocity theory Sulea et al. (2013) AS and Work Behaviors Personality (Moderator) Reciprocity theory Sunday (2014) workplace deviance and powerlessness Thau et al. (2008) AS and workplace deviance Management style (moderator) uncertainty management theory Tziner et al. (2010) interpersonal deviance behavior Tepper (2000) AS and subordinates' organization deviance Tepper et al. (2007) Empirical study on AS Personality (Moderator) NA Tepper et al. (2009) AS and intentions to quit Employees' intention to quit Power/depende nce theory	Oh & Farh (2017)		NA	•
Park et al. (2017). AS and employee deviance Power distance (Moderator) NA Peterson (2002) workplace deviance NA Ethical Theory Pradhan and Jena (2017) Saqib and Arif AS and Organizational Employee silence (Mediator) COR (2017) Learning Samantha (2016) AS in the workplace NA NA Schaubroeck et al. AS and peer respect Group potency (Moderators) NA Schauda and Mehmood (2012) Burnout (Mediator) Heory Shabeen et al. (2017) AS and Organizational cynicism Winderator) What theory Shabeen et al. (2017) AS and Organizational cynicism Winderator) Psychological cronyism (Mediator) Psychological breach of contract Sulea et al. (2013) AS and Work Behaviors Personality (Moderator) Reciprocity theory Sunday (2014) workplace deviance and powerlessness Thau et al. (2008) AS and workplace deviance Tainer et al. (2010) interpersonal deviance behavior Tepper (2000) AS and subordinates' organization deviance Tepper et al. (2007) Empirical study on AS Personality (Moderator) NA Tepper et al. (2009) AS and intentions to quit Employees' intention to quit power/depende nee theory Ethical Theory Meaningful work (Moderator) NA Ethical Theory Meaningful work (Moderator) NA Schaid Theory NA SET and equity (Mediator) SET and equity (Mediator) Psychological breach of contract psychological breach of contract (Mediator) Reciprocity theory Reciprocity theory Reciprocity theory Trainer et al. (2018) AS and workplace deviance NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA N	Qian et al. (2017)	AS and Job Dissatisfaction		NA
Peterson (2002) workplace deviance NA Ethical Theory Pradhan and Jena ASon employee's TI Meaningful work (Moderator) NA (2017) Saqib and Arif AS and Organizational Employee silence (Mediator) COR (2017) Learning Samantha (2016) AS in the workplace NA NA Schaubroeck et al. AS and peer respect Group potency (Moderators) NA (2016) Shazad and Mehmood Organizational cynicism Burnout (Mediator) SET and equity (Mediator) theory Shaheen et al. (2017) AS and Organizational cronyism (Mediator) Psyc breach of contract (Mediator) Psychological breach of contract Sulea et al. (2013) AS and Work Behaviors Personality (Moderator) Reciprocity theory Sunday (2014) workplace deviance and powerlessness Thau et al. (2008) AS and workplace deviance Management style (moderator) uncertainty management theory Tziner et al. (2010) interpersonal deviance NA LMX theory behavior Tepper (2000) AS and subordinates' organization deviance Tepper et al. (2007) Empirical study on AS Personality (Moderator) NA Tepper et al. (2009) AS and intentions to quit Employees' intention to quit Power/depende nce theory	Ouyang et al. (2015)	AS and proactive behavior		_
Peterson (2002) workplace deviance NA Ethical Theory Pradhan and Jena ASon employee's TI Meaningful work (Moderator) NA (2017) Saqib and Arif AS and Organizational Employee silence (Mediator) COR (2017) Learning Samantha (2016) AS in the workplace NA NA Schaubroeck et al. AS and peer respect Group potency (Moderators) NA (2016) Shazad and Mehmood Organizational cynicism Burnout (Mediator) theory (2012) (Mediator) theory Shaheen et al. (2017) AS and Organizational cronyism (Mediator) Psyc breach of contract (Mediator) breach of contract (Sulea et al. (2013) AS and Work Behaviors Personality (Moderator) Reciprocity theory Sunday (2014) workplace deviance and powerlessness Thau et al. (2008) AS and workplace deviance Management style (moderator) uncertainty management theory Tziner et al. (2010) interpersonal deviance NA LMX theory behavior Tepper (2000) AS and subordinates' organization deviance Tepper et al. (2007) Empirical study on AS Personality (Moderator) NA Tepper et al. (2009) AS and intentions to quit Employees' intention to quit Power/depende nce theory	Park et al. (2017).	AS and employee deviance	Power distance (Moderator)	·
Pradhan and Jena (2017) Saqib and Arif (2017) Saqib and Arif (2018) Samantha (2016) AS in the workplace NA (2016) Shazad and Mehmood (2017) Shazad and Mehmood (2017) Shazad and Mehmood (2012) Shazad and Mehmood (2012) Shazad and Mehmood (2013) Shazad and Mehmood (2013) AS and Organizational cynicism (2012) Shabeen et al. (2017) AS and Organizational Psyc breach of contract (2013) Sulea et al. (2013) AS and Work Behaviors Personality (Moderator) Sunday (2014) Sunday (2014) Workplace deviance and powerlessness Thau et al. (2008) AS and workplace deviance Management style (moderator) Tepper (2000) AS and subordinates' Job mobility organization deviance Tepper et al. (2007) Empirical study on AS Personality (Moderator) NA Personality (Moderator) NA LMX theory behavior Tepper et al. (2007) Empirical study on AS Personality (Moderator) NA Personality (Moderator) NA Tepper et al. (2009) AS and intentions to quit Employees' intention to quit Power/depende (Moderator) nec theory	Peterson (2002)		NA	Ethical Theory
Countract Coun	Pradhan and Jena (2017)	ASon employee's TI	Meaningful work (Moderator)	•
Samantha (2016) AS in the workplace NA Group potency (Moderators) NA Schaubroeck et al. (2016) Shazad and Mehmood (2012) Shazen et al. (2017) AS and Organizational cynicism (Mediator) Shaheen et al. (2017) AS and Organizational (Mediator) Sulea et al. (2013) AS and Work Behaviors (Mediator) Sunday (2014) Workplace deviance and powerlessness Thau et al. (2008) AS and workplace deviance Management style (moderator) Tziner et al. (2010) interpersonal deviance behavior Tepper (2000) AS and subordinates' organization deviance Tepper et al. (2007) Empirical study on AS Personality (Moderator) Tepper et al. (2009) AS and intentions to quit Employees' intention to quit Power/depende nce theory SET and equity (Mediator) SET and equity (Mediator) Psyc breach of contract Psychological breach of contract Psychological Prescription (Mediator) NA NA NA NA NA NA NA LMX theory NA Tepper et al. (2007) Empirical study on AS Personality (Moderator) Employees' intention to quit Power/depende (Moderator) nce theory	Saqib and Arif (2017)		Employee silence (Mediator)	COR
Schaubroeck et al. (2016) Shazad and Mehmood (2012) Shaheen et al. (2017) Shaheen et al. (2018) Substituting the street of t	Samantha (2016)	AS in the workplace	NA	NA
(2012) (Mediator) theory Shaheen et al. (2017) AS and Organizational cronyism (Mediator) breach of contract Sulea et al. (2013) AS and Work Behaviors Personality (Moderator) Reciprocity theory Sunday (2014) workplace deviance and powerlessness Thau et al. (2008) AS and workplace deviance Management style (moderator) uncertainty management theory Tziner et al. (2010) interpersonal deviance behavior Tepper (2000) AS and subordinates' Job mobility NA Tepper et al. (2007) Empirical study on AS Tepper et al. (2009) AS and intentions to quit Employees' intention to quit Power/depende nce theory	Schaubroeck et al. (2016)	AS and peer respect	Group potency (Moderators)	NA
Shaheen et al. (2017) AS and Organizational cronyism (Mediator) breach of contract Sulea et al. (2013) AS and Work Behaviors Personality (Moderator) Reciprocity theory Sunday (2014) workplace deviance and powerlessness Thau et al. (2008) AS and workplace deviance Management style (moderator) uncertainty management theory Tziner et al. (2010) interpersonal deviance behavior Tepper (2000) AS and subordinates' organization deviance Tepper et al. (2007) Empirical study on AS Personality (Moderator) NA Tepper et al. (2009) AS and intentions to quit Employees' intention to quit Power/depende nce theory	Shazad and Mehmood (2012)	Organizational cynicism		
cronyism (Mediator) breach of contract Sulea et al. (2013) AS and Work Behaviors Personality (Moderator) Reciprocity theory Sunday (2014) workplace deviance and powerlessness Thau et al. (2008) AS and workplace deviance Management style (moderator) uncertainty management theory Tziner et al. (2010) interpersonal deviance behavior Tepper (2000) AS and subordinates' Job mobility organization deviance Tepper et al. (2007) Empirical study on AS Personality (Moderator) NA Tepper et al. (2009) AS and intentions to quit Employees' intention to quit Power/depende (Moderator) nce theory	`	AS and Organizational		
Sunday (2014) workplace deviance and powerlessness Thau et al. (2008) AS and workplace deviance Management style (moderator) uncertainty management theory Tziner et al. (2010) interpersonal deviance behavior Tepper (2000) AS and subordinates' Job mobility Tepper et al. (2007) Empirical study on AS Tepper et al. (2009) AS and intentions to quit Employees' intention to quit Power/depende (Moderator) The power/depende management style (moderator) NA LMX theory NA Personality (Moderator) NA Tepper et al. (2009) AS and intentions to quit Employees' intention to quit Power/depende nce theory		_	(Mediator)	breach of
Thau et al. (2008) AS and workplace deviance Tziner et al. (2010) Tziner et al. (2010) AS and subordinates' organization deviance Tepper et al. (2007) Tepper et al. (2009) AS and intentions to quit Employees' intention to quit (Moderator) Management style (moderator) uncertainty management theory NA LMX theory NA Personality (Moderator) NA Tepper et al. (2007) AS and intentions to quit Employees' intention to quit (Moderator) Power/depende nce theory	Sulea et al. (2013)	AS and Work Behaviors	Personality (Moderator)	• •
Thau et al. (2008) AS and workplace deviance Management style (moderator) uncertainty management theory Tziner et al. (2010) interpersonal deviance behavior Tepper (2000) AS and subordinates' organization deviance Tepper et al. (2007) Empirical study on AS Personality (Moderator) Power/depende (Moderator) Ranagement style (moderator) uncertainty management theory	Sunday (2014)		NA	
behavior Tepper (2000) AS and subordinates' Job mobility NA organization deviance Tepper et al. (2007) Empirical study on AS Personality (Moderator) NA Tepper et al. (2009) AS and intentions to quit Employees' intention to quit Power/depende (Moderator) nce theory	Thau et al. (2008)		Management style (moderator)	management
organization deviance Tepper et al. (2007) Empirical study on AS Personality (Moderator) NA Tepper et al. (2009) AS and intentions to quit Employees' intention to quit Power/depende (Moderator) nce theory	Tziner et al. (2010)		NA	LMX theory
Tepper et al. (2007) Empirical study on AS Personality (Moderator) NA Tepper et al. (2009) AS and intentions to quit Employees' intention to quit Power/depende (Moderator) nce theory	Tepper (2000)		Job mobility	NA
Tepper et al. (2009) AS and intentions to quit Employees' intention to quit Power/depende (Moderator) nce theory	Tepper et al. (2007)		Personality (Moderator)	NA
	Tepper et al. (2009)		Employees' intention to quit	*
	Tepper et al. (2011)	AS and Subordinate		-

	Performance		
Tepper et al. (2017)	Review on AS	NA	NA
Uzondu et al. (2017)	AS, Work Tension	NA	NA
Wang et al. (2012)	AS and workplace deviance	Interactional justice (Mediator) and power distance (Moderator)	NA
Whitman et al. (2014)	AS and feedback avoidance	Emotional exhaustion (Mediator)	COR
Wu & Hu (2009)	AS and Emotional Exhaustion	Emotional contagion perceived coworker support (Moderators)	NA
Yam et al. (2016)	surface acting and AS	Leaders' trait self-control (Moderator)	Ego-depletion theory
Yildiz et al. (2015)	destructive deviant behavior	Alienation (Mediator)	NA
Yinqiu et al. (2017)	AS and Subordinate's Behavior	Self-construal (Moderator)	Social cognitive theory
Younus et al. (2020)	Destructive Leadership and Justice Perception	Mechanism of Justice Perception:	
Zhang et al. (2014)	AS maintain creativity	Intrinsic motivation (Mediator) and Core self-evaluations (Moderator)	Motivational Model
Zhang & Liao (2015)	AS: meta-analytic review	Power distance, Demographic characteristics Research design (Moderators)	NA
Zheng & Liu (2017)	AS and Creative Performance	Self-efficacy (Mediator) and Mindfulness (Moderator)	Social cognitive theory

2. Conclusion

This study contributed a theoretical perspective on the area of abusive supervision (AS) and provided a base to develop framework for further studies in the area of AS. This study will guide researchers and offering a more precise grasp of the terminology, underlying causes, and consequences associated with abusive supervision. This study provides several implications for the researcher. This systemic review on AS opened the door for the future researcher to conduct empirical studies to establish the conceptual and theoretical framework. In addition, this qualitative research will also help future researchers to select the underpinning or supporting theory to support their proposed model or framework for future research. This qualitative research also provides new directions in this area of AS, by encouraging and emerging new debate. Finally, the present study was conducting research in a systemic way to get better understanding of the dynamic of AS and latent variable linked with AS and to establish hypothesis relations among the variables. The present study will contribute to the academic literature on AS and CWB and enhance the understanding of the researchers, practitioners and managers. This study helps the researchers establish theoretical framework and the hypothesis of the relationship of AS to others variable. In addition, this study would also help the researcher to suggest underpinning theories to support their theoretical framework. This study also contributes another method of writing a systemic review without meta- analysis.

The current study has contributed further indications and evidence to the expanding realm of knowledge concerning AS. While the current study does possess certain limitations, its findings align with the theoretical and conceptual propositions and address key objectives, thus delineating avenues for future research within the domain of AS. Despite the considerable body of research delving into the fundamental catalysts and contributors to abusive supervision, there still needs to be more potential for further exploration, particularly in domains such as organizational injustice. Nonetheless, this study has effectively bridged a theoretical gap by introducing AS as an independent variable. The implications stemming from the outcomes of this systematic review extend to both researchers and professionals, urging them to undertake additional investigations in the realm of deviant behavior.

References

Abid, S. & Abid, H. (2017). Abusive Supervision and Turnover Intention Among Female Health Care Professionals in Pakistani Hospitals. *International Journal of Advance Research* 5(11), 470-479.

Ahmad, S, Ashraf, H.A., Iqbal, J., Munir W, Iqbal Y. Ali, U.,(2023). Silenced By Despotic Leadership: Investigating The Mediating Effect Of Job Tensions And Moderating Influence Of Psycap On Employee Silence. *Russian Law Journal*, 11(1), 173-193.

- Ahmed, E. & Muchiri, M. (2014).Linking Abusive Supervision to Employees' OCBs and Turnover Intentions: The role of a Psychological Contract Breach and Perceived Organisational Support. *Contemporary Management Research Pages* 147-164.
- Ahmad, W., Khattak, A.J. & Ahmad, G. (2016). Impact of Abusive Supervision on Job Satisfaction and Turnover Intention: Role of Power Distance As Moderator. *City University Research Journal* Volume 06 Number 01 January 2016 PP 122-136
- Aksu, A., (2016). Organizational deviance and multi-factor leadership. *Educational Research and Reviews, Academic Journal*. Vol. 11(8), 589-597.
- Alias, M., Mohd Rasdi, R., & Abu Said, A. M. (2012). The impact of negative affectivity, job satisfaction and interpersonal justice on workplace deviance in the private organizations. *Pertanika Journal of Social Sciences & Humanities*, 20(3), 829–846.
- Anwar, M.K. (2017). Abusive Supervision and Turnover Intention: Examining the Mediating Role of Self-Identity and Moderating Role of Future Work Self Salience. A Research Thesis submitted to the Department of Management Sciences, Capital University of Science & Technology, Islamabad.
- Albashiti, B., Hamid, Z. and Aboramadan, M. (2021), "Fire in the belly: the impact of despotic leadership on employees work-related outcomes in the hospitality setting", International Journal of Contemporary Hospitality Management, 33(10), 3564-3584.
- An, F., & Wang, B., (2015). Abusive Supervision and Counterproductive Work Behavior: Moderating Effect of Negative Affectivity Vol.09 No.01(2016). *Journal of Service Science and Management*, 0991009.
- Appelbaum, S. H., Deguire, K. J., & Lay, M. (2005). The relationship of ethical climate to deviant workplace behavior. Corporate Governance: *The International Journal of Business in Society*, 5(4), 43–55.
- Appelbaum, S. H., Iaconi, G. D., & Matousek, A. (2007). Positive and negative deviant workplace behaviors: causes, impacts, and solutions. Corporate Governance: *The International Journal of Business in Society*, 7(5), 586–598.
- Asghar, M.& Ahmad, Z. (2017). Impact of Abusive Supervision on Workplace Deviance Behavior; Role of Interactional Justice . *Current Economic and Management Research*, 3(1)1-11, 2017.
- Asghar, S. & Sultana, S. (2017). Relationship of Abusive Supervision and Creativity of Academic Staff in Public Sector Universities: Mediating role of Knowledge Sharing. *Sukkur IBA Journal of Business Management* Volume 4 | No. 2 | July -December 2017
- Ashraf, S, & Ashraf, N. (2016) Impact of Abusive Supervision on Interpersonal Conflict; Mediating Role of Breach of Psychological Contract and Moderating Role of Locus of Control *Jinnah Business Review* 2016 Vol.4, No.1, 53-63.
- Ashfaq, S., Mahmood, Z. and Ahmad, M., 2013. Impact of Work-Life Conflict and Work over Load on Employee Performance in Banking Sector of Pakistan. *Middle-East Journal of Scientific Research*, 14(5), pp.688-695
- Aryee, S., Chen, Z. X., Sun, L., Debrah, Y. A.(2007). Antecedents and outcomes of abusive supervision: Test of a trickle-down model. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 92, 191-201.
- Avey, J.B., Wu, K. & Holley, E. J Bus Ethics (2015) The Influence of Abusive Supervision and Job Embeddedness on Citizenship and Deviance. *Journal of Business Ethics Volume* 129, 3.
- Bakar, A., M. S., Idris, K., Omar, Z., & Othman, S. N. (2016). Abusive Supervision and Workplace Deviant Behavior: Mediation Effects of Moral Disengagement. *Malaysian Journal of Social Sciences and Humanities* (MJSSH), 1(4), 53 59.
- Bamberger, P. A., & Bacharach, S. B. (2006). Abusive supervision and subordinate problem drinking: Taking resistance, stress, and subordinate personality into account. *Human Relations*, 59, 1-30
- Brees, J., Martinko, M. & Harvey, P. (2016). Abusive supervision: subordinate personality or supervisor behavior? *Journal of Managerial Psychology*, 31(2), 405-419.
- Burton J.P. Hoobler, J.M & Scheuer, M.L. (2012). Supervisor Workplace Stress and Abusive Supervision: The Buffering Effect of Exercise. *Journal Business Psychology* (2012) 27:271–279
- Bolton, L., & Grawitch, M. J. (2011). When good employees go bad: How organizations may be facilitating workplace deviance. *Good Company*, 5(2), 1–2.
- Bowlinga N.A&. Michelb, J.S. (2012). Why do you treat me badly? The role of attributions regarding the cause of abuse in subordinates' responses to abusive supervision. *Work & Stress*
- Vol. 25, No. 4, OctoberDecember 2011, 309320.
- Brown, P. (2008). The body and society: Men, women, and sexual renunciation in early Christianity: Columbia University Press.

- Carlson, D., Ferguson, Hunter, E., & Whitten, D.(2011). Abusive supervision and work family conflict: The path through Labor and Burnout. *The Leadership Quarterly*, 23, 849-859.
- Chappell, D. and Martino, V. (2006). Voilence at Work, (3rd edn.). [Online] Geneva, Switzerland: International Labour Organisation. Available from http://www.ilo.org/public/libdoc/ilo/2006/106B09_110_engl.pdf [Accessed: 29/08/2015].
- Chan, M., & McAllister, D. (2013). Abusive supervision through the lens of employee state paranoia. *Academy of Management Review*. DOI: 10.5465/amr.2011.0419
- Cortina, L. M., Magley, V. J., Williams, J. H., & Langhout, R. D. (2001). Incivility in the workplace: incidence and impact. *Journal of Occupational Health Psychology*, 6(1), 64
- Eissa, G., & Lester, S. W. (2017). Supervisor role overload and frustration as antecedents of abusive supervision: The moderating role of supervisor personality. *Journal of Organiz. Behav*, 38, 307–326.
- Etodike Chukwuemeka E, Ezeh Leonard N & Chukwura Emmanuel N. (2017). Abusive Supervision: A Predictor of Employee Cynicism and Counterproductive Workplace Behaviour among Industrial Workers Scholars. *Journal of Arts, Humanities and Social Sciences* 5(9C), 1276-1283.
- Fagbohungbe, B. O., Akinbode, G. A., & Ayodeji, F. (2012). Organizational determinants of workplace deviant behaviors: *An empirical analysis in Nigeria. International Journal of Business and Management*, 7(5), 207–221.
- Farasat, E., & Ziaaddini, M. (2013). Perceived organizational support and deviant behavior. *Journal of Basic and Applied Scientific Research*, 3(5), 517–528.
- Hamid, R. A., Juhdi, N.H, Ismail Md.D., & Abdullah, N.A., (2016). Abusive supervision and workplace deviance as moderated by spiritual intelligence: An empirical study of Selangor employees. *Malaysian Journal of Society and Space*, 12(2), 191 202.
- Haar, J.M., Alida de Fluiter, A.de. and Brougham, D (2016). Abusive supervision and turnover intentions: The mediating role of perceived organisational support. *Journal of management and organization*, 22(2) 139-153.
- Harris, K.J., Harvey,P., Harris H.B. & Cast, M. (2013) An Investigation of Abusive Supervision, Vicarious Abusive Supervision, and Their Joint Impacts, *The Journal of Social Psychology*, 153(1), 38-50.
- Han, G.H., Harms P. D. & Bai, Y, (2015). Nightmare Bosses: The Impact of Abusive Supervision on Employees' Sleep, Emotions, and Creativity. *Journal of Business Ethics*.
- Hon, A H.Y., & Lu.L.,(2016). When Will the Trickle-Down Effect of Abusive Supervision I e Alleviated? The Moderating Roles of Power Distance and Traditional Cultures. *Cornell aliy Hospitably quarterly*.
- Donghong D, (2018). Why am I still doing this job? The examination of family motivation on employees' work behaviors under abusive supervision. *Personnel Review*, 47, 378-402.
- Hussain, K., Abbas, Z., Gulzar, S., Jibril, A. B., & Hussain, A. (2020). Examining the impact of abusive supervision on employees' psychological wellbeing and turnover intention: The mediating role of intrinsic motivation. *Cogent Business & Management*, 7(1), 1818998.
- Iqbal, J., Ashraf, H. A., & Fatima, A. (2023). Smiles and Struggles: Investigating the Effects of Leader Emotional Labour Strategies on Job Satisfaction and Emotional Exhaustion in the Service Industry. *Global Social Sciences Review*, VIII(I), 564-578.
- Iqbal,J., Baharom.M.N. Khairi.M.D.,(2017). Impact of Demographic Factors on Deviant Workplace Behavior in the Pakistani Public Organizations. *International Journal of Management Sciences and Business Research*, Sep-2017 ISSN (2226-8235), 6, 9.
- Kerse, G. and Babadag, M. (2019). A path from bullying at work to alienation: a multi-level view. *Management Research Review*, 42, 12011215.
- Jianga, W., Wangb Lin, H. (2015) .The role of cognitive processes and individual differences in the relationship between abusive supervision and employee career satisfaction,. *Personality and Individual differences*, 99 155-160.
- Javed, B. (2017). Impact of Abusive Supervision on Deviant Work Behavior: The Role of Islamic Work Ethic. *Acadmy of Management Journal*.
- Jalil, I., (2017). The Impact of Abusive Supervision on Employee Silence: Mediating Role of Avoidance Orientation and Moderating Role of Leader Member Exchange .A research thesis submitted to the Department of Management Sciences, Capital University of Science & Technology, Islamabad
- Jiana, Z.Kwanb, H.K. Qiuc Q, Liud, Z.Q. & Yime, F.H.K., (2012). Abusive supervision and frontline employees' service performance. *The Service Industries Journal*, 32, 683–698.

- Jibao Gu, Jun Song, Jianlin Wu, (2016). Abusive supervision and employee creativity in China: Departmental identification as mediator and face as moderator", *Leadership & Organization Development Journal*, 37, 1187-1204.
- Khokhar, A.M. & Zia-ur-Rehmanm, M. (2017). Linking Ethical Leadership to Employees' Performance: Mediating Role of Organizational Citizenship Behavior and Counterproductive Work Behavior. *Pakistan Journal of Commerce and Social Sciences*, 11 (1), 321-350
- Kun Yu, Weipeng Lin, Lei Wang, Jingjing Ma, Wenqi Wei, Haifeng Wang, Wencai Guo and Jing Shi (2016). The role of affective commitment and future work self-salience in the abusive supervision—job performance relationship. *Journal of Occupational and Organizational Psychology* (2016), 89, 28–45.
- Lam, C.K.; Walter, F.; Huang, Xu.(2017). Supervisors' emotional exhaustion and abusive supervision: The moderating roles of perceived subordinate performance and supervisor self-monitoring. *Journal of Organizational Behavior*, 38, 1151-1166.
- Mackey, J D., Frieder, R.E., Brees & Martinko, M.J. (2015). Abusive supervision A Meta-analysis and empirical review. *Journal of Management*, 1940-1965.
- Mackey, J.D., Frieder, R.E., Perrewé, P.L. Gallagher, V.C. & Brymer, R.A., (2015). Empowered Employees as Social Deviants: The Role of Abusive Supervision. *Journal of Business and Psychology* > Issue 1/2015
- Martinko, M. J., Harvey, P., Brees, J. R., & Mackey, J. (2013). A review of abusive supervision research. *Journal of organizational behavior*, 34(S1), S120-S137.
- McAvoy, B. R., & Murtagh, J. (2003). Workplace bullying: The silent epidemic. British Medical Journal 326: 776.
- McAllister, C.P.,. Mackey, J.D.& Perrewe, P.L.(2017). The role of self-regulation in the relationship between abusive supervision and job tension. *The Journal of organizational behavior*, 1–14.
- Meng, Y., Tan, J. & Li. J(2017). Abusive supervision by academic supervisors and postgraduate research students' creativity: the mediating role of leader-member exchange and intrinsic motivation. *International Journal of Leadership in Education*, 15, 5.
- Morales, G., Kernan, M. G., Becker, T. E., & Eisenberger, R. (2016) Defeating Abusive Supervision: Training Supervisors to Support Subordinates. *Journal of Occupational Health Psychology*.
- Naseer, S., Raja, U. & Donia, M.B.L. (2016). Effect of Perceived Politics and Perceived Support on Bullying and Emotional Exhaustion: The Moderating Role of Type A Personality, *The Journal of Psychology*, 150, 606-624.
- Eze B Nwani, Mbam S Ofoke, Eze O Eze &Dominic C Udechukwu (2017). Abusive supervision and work tension as predictors of counterproductive work behaviour among Nigerian police. *Journal of Psychology and sociological Studies*, 1, 1.
- Oh,j.k.&. Farh, c.i.c.(2017) .An emotional process theory of how subordinates appraise, experience, and respond to abusive supervision over time. *Academy of Management Review*, 42, 207–232.
- Ouyang. K & Lam, W. & Wang.W. (2015). Roles of gender and identification on abusive supervision and proactive behavior. *Asia Pac Journal of Management*, 32, 671–691.
- Park, H., Hoobler, J.M., & Wu, J. (2017). Abusive Supervision and Employee Deviance: A Multifoci Justice Perspective. *Journal Business Ethics*,
- Pradhan, S. & Jena, L.S. (2017). Effect of abusive supervision onemployee's intention to quit and the neutralizing role of meaningful work in Indian IT organizations. *Organizational Analysis*, 25, 825-838
- Qian, J., Song. B., & Wang, B., (2017). Abusive Supervision and Job Dissatisfaction: The Moderating Effects of Feedback Avoidance and Critical Thinking frontier pychology.
- Saqib, A.& Arif,M. (2017). Employee Silence as Mediator in the Relationship between Toxic. Leadership Behavior and Organizational Learning. *Abasyn Journal of Social Sciences*, 10, 2017.
- Samantha, K.(2016). Abusive Supervision in the Workplace: An Examination of Current Research and a Proposal for Preventive Measures" (2016). University Honors Theses. Paper 303.
- Schat, A. C. H., Desmarais, S., & Kelloway, E. K. (2006). Exposure to workplace aggression from multiple sources: Validation of a measure and test of a model.
- Schat, A. C. H., Frone, M. R., & Kelloway, E. K. (2006). Prevalence of workplace aggression in the U.S. workforce: Findings from a national study. In E. K. Kelloway, J. Barling, & J. J. Hurrell (Eds.), Handbook of workplace violence: 47-89. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage
- Schaubroeck, J. M., Peng, A. C., & Hannah, S. T. (2016). The role of peer respect in linking abusive supervision to follower outcomes: Dual moderation of group potency. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 101(2), 267-278.
- Shaheen, S., Bashir, S. & Khan. AK., (2017) Examining Organizational Cronyism as an Antecedent of Workplace Deviance in Public Sector Organizations. *Public Personnel Management*, 1–16.

- Shahzad, A., & Mahmood, Z. (2012). The mediating-moderating model of organizational cynicism and workplace deviant behavior: Evidence from banking sector in Pakistan. *Middle-East Journal of Scientific Research*, 12(5), 580–588.
- Sulea, C., Fine, S., Fischmann, G., Sava, F.A. & Dumitru, C.(2013). Abusive Supervision and Counterproductive Work Behaviors, The Moderating Effects of Personality. *Journal of Personnel Psychology*, 12(4), 196–200.
- Sultan Adal Mehmooda, Naveed Ahmad Farazb*, Devika Nadarajahc, Syed Waqar Abbasd, Muhammad Saood Akhtare, Huam Hon Tatf ((2020). Abusive Supervision: A Case Study of Public Sector Entity in Pakistan's Electricity Distribution Sector.
- Sunday, A. J. (2014). The causes and impart of deviant behavior in the work place. *American Journal of Social Sciences*, *Arts and Literature*, 1(2), 1–11.
- Tepper, B. J. (2000). Consequences of abusive supervision. Academy of Management Journal, 43: 178-190.
- Tepper, B. J. (2007). Abusive Supervision in Work Organizations: Review, Synthesis, and Research Agenda. *Journal of Management*, 33(3), 261–289.
- Tepper, B. J., Duffy, M. K., Henle, C. A., & Lambert, L. S. (2006). Procedural injustice, victim precipitation, and abusive supervision. *Personnel Psychology*, 59, 101-123.
- Tepper, B. J., Duffy, M. K., & Shaw, J. D. (2001).Personality moderators of the relationships between abusive supervision and subordinates' resistance. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 86, 974-983.
- Tepper B, J., Jon C. Carr b, Denise M. Breaux c, Sharon Geider d, Changya Hu e Wei Hua (2009). Abusive supervision, intentions to quit, and employees' workplace deviance: A power/dependence analysis. *Organizational Behavior and Human Decision Processes* 109 (2009) 156–167
- Tepper, B. J., Moss, S.E. & Duffy, M. (2011) Predictors Of Abusive Supervision: Supervisor Perceptions Of Deep-Level Dissimilarity, Relationship Conflict, And Subordinate Performance. *The Academy of Management Journal*, 54, 279-294.
- Tepper, B.J., Simon,L. & Park,H.M.(2017). Abusive supervision. *Annual Review of Organizational Psychology and Organizational Behavior*, 4, 123-152.
- Thau, S.,. Bennett, R.J.,. Mitchell, M.S. and Marrs, M.B (2008). How management style moderates the relationship between abusive supervision and workplace deviance: An uncertainty management theory perspective. *Organizational Behavior and Human Decision Processes*.
- Tziner, A., Fein, E. C., Sharoni, G., Bar-Hen, P., & Nord, T. (2010). Constructive deviance, leader-member exchange, and confidence in appraisal: How do they interrelate, if at all? *Revista de Psicología del Trabajo y de las Organizaciones*, 26(2), 95–100.
- Uzondu, C.N., nwonyi ,S.K.& Ebere Theresa Ugwumgbor , E.T.(2017). Abusive Supervision, Work Tension and Overload as Predictors of Counterproductive Work Behavior. *International Journal of Health and Psychology Research*, 5, 37-48.
- Vickers, M. H. (2014). Towards reducing the harm: Workplace bullying as workplace corruption—A critical review. Employee Responsibilities and RightsJournal, 26(2), 95-113.
- Wang, W., Mao, J., Wu, W. and Liu, J. (2012), Abusive supervision and workplace deviance: the mediating role of interactional justice and the moderating role of power distance. *Asia Pacific Journal of Human Resources*, 50, 43–60
- Whitman, M., Jonathon, R. B.& Halbesleben Oscar Holmes IV, (2014). Abusive supervision and feedback avoidance: The mediating role of emotional exhaustion. *Journal of Organizational Behavior* 35(1).
- Wu, T.Y. & Hu, C. (2009). Abusive Supervision and Employee Emotional Exhaustion Dispositional Antecedents and Boundaries. Group and Organization Management Volume 34 Number 2
- Yam, Kai Chi, Fehr, Ryan, Keng-Highberger, Fong T., Klotz, Anthony C., Reynolds, Scott J. (2016). Out of Control: A Self-Control Perspective on the Link Between Surface Acting and Abusive Supervision. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, Vol 101(2), Feb 2016, 292-301
- Yıldız, B. (2017). The role of envy in employees abusive supervison perception and contextual performance. *PressAcademia Procedia* (PAP), 3, 541-547.
- Yildiz, B., Alpkan, L., Ates, H., & Sezen, B. (2015). Determinants of constructive deviance: the mediator role of psychological ownership. *International Business Research*, 8(4), 107.
- Yıldıza.B.& Alpkan.L. (2015) A Theoretical Model on the Proposed Predictors of Destructive Deviant Workplace Behaviors and the Mediator Role of Alienation Procedia *Social and Behavioral Sciences* 210, 330 338.
- Yu, Y., Xu, S., Li, G. and Kong, H. (2020). A systematic review of research on abusive supervision in hospitality and tourism, *International Journal of Contemporary Hospitality Management*, 32, 2473-2496.

- Younus S. & Rizwan Qaiser Danish & Kashif Rathore, 2020. "Destructive Leadership and Counterproductive Work Behavior with Mediation Mechanism of Justice Perception: Evidence from Tanner Sector of Pakistan," *International Review of Management and Marketing*, Econjournals, 10(4), 83-88.
- Zapf, D., and Claudia Gross (2001). Conflict escalation and coping with workplace bullying: A replication and extension. *European Journal of Work and Organizational Psychology* 10(4), 4970522.
- Zhang, Y. & Liao, Z., (2015). Consequences of abusive supervision: A meta-analytic review. *Asia pacific Journal of Management*.
- Zhang, H., Kwan, Ho, Zhang, X., Wu,Z. (2014). High Core Self-Evaluators Maintain Creativity: A Motivational Model of Abusive Supervision. *Journal Management*, 40, 1151-1174.
- Zheng, X and Liu, X., (2017). The Buffering Effect of Mindfulness on Abusive Supervision and Creative Performance: A Social Cognitive Framework. *Frontier Phycology*, 8 1558.
- Zapf, D., Escartín, J., Einarsen, S., Hoel, H., & Vartia, M. (2010). Empirical Findings on Prevalence and Risk Groups of Bullying in the Workplace. In *Bullying and Harassment in the Workplace* (pp. 91-122). CRC Press.