



Mapping Regional Security Dynamics: Traditional and Non-Traditional Perspectives on the Belt and Road Initiative (BRI)

Nassir Nazir^{1*}, Muhammad Sohrab Saleem², Dr. Muhammad Fahim Khan³, Ehtisham Ali⁴

Abstract

The research article delves into the multifaceted security dimensions of China's Belt and Road Initiative (BRI) and its domain allegations. Securitization Theory and Non-Traditional Security Cooperation Theory, find out about scrutinizes traditional challenges like geopolitical rivalries and military engagements, revealing their intertwined nature with financial development. Non-traditional security concerns, along with terrorism threats and cybersecurity issues, add in addition complexity to the BRI. The research emphasizes China's proactive policies, analysing security cooperation, threat valuation, prison frameworks, and infrastructure protection measures. It underscores China's dedication to safeguarding investments distant places whilst merchandising stability. The research about additionally explores regional safety cooperation, highlighting the interconnectedness of traditional and non-traditional security dynamics. Overall, the findings illuminate the refined stability wanted in decision-making for sustainable improvement inside the BRI, showcasing the nuanced interaction between China's monetary ambitions, security considerations, and evolving geopolitical dynamics, offering each possibilities and challenges for China and its associate states concerned in the initiative.

Keywords: Belt and Road Initiative (BRI), Geopolitics, Economic Strategy, Regional Connectivity, Security Implications, Traditional Security, Non-traditional Security

1. Introduction

In the early 1980s, China introduced financial reforms and an open-door strategy, main too fast financial increase and organizing itself as a fundamental participant in worldwide alternate and overseas investment. It goals to decorate international connectivity and cooperation thru giant infrastructure development, trade, and investment. Regarding this, Chinese President Xi Jinping's proposed the initiative in 2013 to construct the Silk Road Economic Belt (mentioned as "One Belt") and the twenty first Century Maritime Silk Road (mentioned as "One Road") marked the inauguration of the formidable "One Belt, One Road" (OBOR) initiative additionally acknowledged as BRI (Gordon et al., 2020). This initiative ambitions to join China with zones bridging Southeast and South Asia, Eurasia, Africa, and Brazil thru numerous roads, inclusive of trade, investments, infrastructure tasks, tourism, education, and cultural cooperation. The BRI initiative holds strategic significance for China as it seeks to enhance its open financial system, deal with security challenges, and recognize its strategic diversions. It covers each terrestrial and maritime domains, with the Silk Road economic Belt that specialize in Europe and Asia and the 21st Century Maritime Silk Road expanding China's maritime tactical have an impact on (Li 2020).

The BRI is driven with the aid of a convergence of Chinese dialectal pursuits, encompassing security, diplomatic, economic, geopolitical, and ideational dimensions, serving as a catalyst for China's \$12 trillion financial system. Despite a few scepticism, the BRI has garnered enormous assist, particularly among developing and economically challenged states. International non-public sectors, as well as worldwide agencies, have expressed optimism about the initiative. Inside just five years of its launch, China has committed over \$1.4 trillion to the BRI, signalling its speedy progress and full-size financial backing. Current records highlights the significance of the BRI, with participating countries accounting for over 30% of world GDP and approximately 62% of the arena's population. The Asian development bank estimates the once a year infrastructure funding needs in BRI nations at round \$1.7 trillion (Puślecki 2019).

The 21st Century Maritime Silk Road contributes to China's maritime renaissance, affecting both the South China Sea (SCS) and the Indian Ocean area (IOR). China's trade routes via the IOR are necessary for its alternate, meals, and strength security. While the road pursuits to create higher connectivity, it additionally increases competition among China and the resurgent quadrilateral alliance along with America, India, Japan, and Australia. This geopolitical shift in the IOR increases questions about America's role because the number one security provider, doubtlessly main to increased geostrategic overtures and military posturing. As China's financial have an effect on expands, pupils and analysts have raised worries about each traditional and non-traditional security implications related to the BRI (Haiquan 2017).

As the BRI progresses, traditional and non-traditional security challenges, making it indispensable to understand its implications. Examples inclusive of the China-Pakistan financial corridor (CPEC) illustrate how leading BRI projects can impact geopolitical tensions and regional energy dynamics. According to Stockholm International Peace Research Institute (SIPRI), it suggests that BRI international nations accounted for about 15% of world

¹*Registrar, Intellectual Property Tribunal, Lahore. PhD Scholar, MY University, Islamabad. nassirnazir@gmail.com

² PhD Scholar, MY University, Islamabad

³ Assistant Professor, Department of International Relations, MY University, Islamabad, Pakistan

⁴ Deputy Director, ORIC, University of Narowal, Narowal

military expenditure in 2022, highlighting the interconnectedness of financial improvement and military dynamics in these regions (Nishimura 2022).

1.1. Theoretical Frameworks for Analysing the BRI

The Belt and Road Initiative (BRI) can be successfully analysed via wonderful theoretical frameworks, every presenting special insights into its multifaceted nature and influence on world dynamics. One such method includes exploring the theoretical implications of the BRI in the broader context of cultural, political, and social theories. Among the outstanding frameworks, the Securitization Theory proves precious in perception the building of security issues and their function in shaping the improvement of the BRI, in particular regarding common security challenges (Shah 2023). Within the context of the BRI, standard security challenges including geopolitical rivalries, territorial disputes, and military engagements are integral additives that may be analysed via this lens. Additionally, the BRI's impact on non-traditional security problems is fantastic understood through the lens of Non-traditional security (NTS) Cooperation theory. This theoretical framework is mainly applicable for assessing how the BRI addresses problems previous usual military threats, collectively with environmental degradation and financial instability. For example, in the context of environmental degradation, the notion helps observe how the BRI incorporates sustainable practices, addresses ecological issues, and collaborates with taking component regional places to make positive lengthy-time duration environmental stability (Gong 2020). Similarly, related to economic instability, the thought assists in assessing how the BRI promotes economic cooperation, infrastructure development, and exchange partnerships to decorate financial security. These theoretical frameworks offer valuable device for dissecting the security dimensions of the BRI. Securitization Theory aids in perception the framing of usual security concerns, whilst NTS Cooperation Theory gives insights into the collaborative efforts to tackle non-traditional security challenges, contributing to a complete appreciation of the BRI's have an effect on international security dynamics.

2. Literature Review: "The Belt and the Road" Initiative (BRI) and Chinese Diplomacy

The BRI initiative, a central element of this diplomatic strategy, is seemed as a special platform for regional cooperation marked by means of openness, mobility, mutual advantage, and cultural exchange. The undertaking targets to create a network of shared interests and a not unusual future some of the nations along the direction through multi-level, multi-vector cooperation. The idea of "massive US of a diplomacy with Chinese dialectal characteristics" emerged as a collective appreciation of diplomacy after the 18th National Congress of the CPC in November 2012. This diplomatic approach, led by the CPC Central Committee under Xi Jinping, introduced key wits such as the "Asia-Pacific Free Trade Area," the "One Belt One Road" (BRI) initiative, and the development of the Asian Infrastructure Investment Bank and Silk Road Fund (Zaki et al., 2023).

Professor Xue Li emphasizes that the BRI initiative is the primary foreign strategy shaping Chinese foreign policy for the next 8-10 years. This strategic shift is evident in China's self-identification as a "country of Central Asia" and its departure from the past policy of "Taoguan Yanghui" towards a more active foreign engagement towards the Securitization (Pu 2016).

Researchers Xu Liping and Wang Xiaolin contend that the BRI initiative optimizes China's peripheral policies by addressing poverty, territorial disputes, and concerns over China's rise, and conflicts arising from industrial and market competition. They suggest that political trust and economic cooperation fostered by BRI can alleviate these difficulties (Xie et al., 2023).

Professor Wang Zhimin underscores the initiative's ability to connect with other countries' strategies, such as Kazakhstan's Eurasian Economic Union and "Nurly Zhol," leading to improved diplomatic relations and heightened mutual communication (Zhimin 2014).

The "Future and Activities" project, supervised by the Central Government, reflects the extensive research and planning undertaken to implement the BRI initiative. The initiative's endorsement in March 2015, as announced by the National Committee for Expansion and Transformation, the Ministry of Foreign Affairs, and the Ministry of Trade, signifies its official recognition and commitment (Akhtar et al., 2021).

Scholars Zhang Xinping and Yang Zhongguo see BRI as a form of public diplomacy, emphasizing the importance of international recognition, support, and people's will for its success. They outline goals for people's diplomacy to create a favourable environment for China's peaceful development (Jiang 2022).

Professor Wang Yizhi highlights the positive influence of BRI on China's relations with neighbouring countries, easing tensions and promoting economic development through strategic corridors. The Belt and Road Initiative represents a comprehensive state strategy that aligns with both historical traditions and modern trends, shaping China's foreign program and international activities regarding Traditional and Non-Traditional security concerns. The CPC Central Committee considers it a means to implement a new policy of transparency and views it as the core of "Great Country Diplomacy with Chinese Distinctiveness" (An and Wang 2023).

2.1. BRI Initiative Security Challenges: Navigating Traditional and Non-Traditional Risks

The regional security landscape within the agenda of The Belt and Road (BRI) enterprise is a complex interplay of traditional and non-traditional securitization, involving multiple regions and cooperation mechanisms. The BRI regional security cooperation reflects the expansion of China's open economy approach, which gained momentum next the 18th National Congress of the Communist Party of China (CPC) in 2012. The security challenges can be

broadly categorized into traditional security concerns and non-traditional security issues, highlighting the multifaceted nature of the risks involved.

2.2. Great Power Geopolitical Games

Worldwide powers, along with the European Union (EU), Russia, India, and the USA, have introduced indigenous initiatives in the BRI project, aiming to enhance their impact on (Eggleton 2021).

The EU's "New Silk Route" and Russia's "North-South corridor" are examples of initiatives in search of to hold or make bigger regular regional affect.

Competition and geopolitical tensions, together with India's problems regarding the "String of Pearls initiative," can have an impact on the security environment and strength station security, affecting China's cooperation in South Asia (Holzner et al., 2018).

2.3. Territorial and Island Disputes

Historic territorial and island disputes inside the BRI project pose large stressful situations, affecting the security guarantee of the initiative.

Maritime disagreements, alongside with the South China Sea dispute, Paracel Island sovereignty, Spratly Island sovereignty, Diaoyu Island dispute, and the one-of-a-kind economic vicinity dispute amongst China and Japan, represent quintessential usual security stressful situations.

Land disputes, which encompass the Kashmir dispute amongst India and Pakistan, Sino-Indian edge dispute, and regional disputes in Central Asia, make a contribution to regional tensions and forestall whole cooperation.

Territorial disputes in Central Asia, which include the Tajikistan-Kyrgyzstan combat, make contributions negatively to the Shanghai Cooperation agency's (SCO) goals of political common belief, safety, and financial collaboration.

Border territories, reserve arguments, and water disputes in Central Asia avert regional stability and pose demanding situations to the western place of China.

The BRI initiative confronts a dual security undertaking related to traditional and non-traditional dangers, necessitating strategic issues and diplomatic efforts to make sure the a hit and secure implementation of this formidable regional cooperation diagram (Kwong and Wong 2020).

2.4. Political Turmoil

Many international situations interior the BRI neighbourhood are dealing with inside challenges like social magnificence contradictions, ethnic and religious problems, and not sure political conditions.

Political tendencies in domain areas like Kyrgyzstan and Tajikistan, marked with the useful resource of North-South contradictions and seasonal protests, can influence the BRI initiative's coordination and implementation.

Areas like Somalia, Yemen, Iran, and Pakistan, experiencing political instability, present capability risks, and will enlarge the financial value of investment, impacting China's far off self-interests.

The complexities of regional politics, exemplified by means of the North-South contradictions in Kyrgyzstan, can hinder the clean development of the BRI initiative (Sun et al., 2021).

3. Traditional security views on BRI: A military and Geopolitical analysis

3.1. Building military Partnerships

China's The Belt and Road (BRI) enterprise has profound military allegations, manifesting in the forging of military partnerships to guard and enhance the Maritime Silk road (MSR) goals. The ones military-to-military ties are instrumental in securing China's interests in Southeast Asia. China has actively engaged with Southeast Asian international places' military institutions, strengthening ties thru dialogues and palms alternate. Lively involvement with countries like Thailand, Myanmar, and Cambodia underscores China's dedication to increasing its military presence within the place. China's strategic recognition includes increasing port calls in Southeast Asia, emphasizing naval bases' privileged get right of access to. This initiative, past anti-piracy missions, goals to confirm China's military presence and impervious it's characteristic at the centre of Southeast Asia's financial and industrial existence. By way of fostering financial and military partnerships, China aims to invulnerable the strength pathways crucial for its power security. The multifaceted engagement makes it gradually hard for the USA to intrude in maritime pathways critical to China's interests (Rolland, et al. 2019).

3.2. Strategic Alliances and Rivalries

China's recalibration of its strategic dreams, shifting consciousness to Southeast Asia, includes navigating strategic alliances and rivalries inside the region. China perceives Southeast Asia as a pivotal arena to counterbalance America alliance system. The regional's developing finance significance, coupled with the US's perceived decline in diversion, makes it an appealing focal factor for China. Beijing's achievement in convincing ASEAN countries to mark up for the Regional Comprehensive Economic Partnership (RCEP) indicators its financial international relations triumph over the united states-led Trans-Pacific Partnership (TPP). China's financial tasks, coupled with assertive territorial movements, are shaping a brand new geopolitical fame quo in the area. Through the Belt and road Initiative (BRI) and the Maritime Silk Road (MSR), China objectives to minimize the chance people interference in energy shipments. The MSR, specifically, allows a less confrontational approach to expanding influence in Southeast Asia. The arena financial institution's examination emphasizes the need for comprehensive threat control to impervious the initiative's achievement (Iqbal 2018).

3.3. Economic growth and Infrastructure Investments

China's financial and strategic rationales are intertwined with Southeast Asia's increase potentialities and infrastructure needs. Southeast Asia's projected increase and mild indebtedness make it a less risky funding goal for China's Belt and road initiatives. The vicinity's urbanization, rising technology, and a young population offer huge opportunities for economic collaboration. To maintain growth, Southeast Asian nations require sizeable infrastructure investments. BRI projects are positioned to deal with this infrastructural gap, aligning with the Asian development financial institution's estimates of critical spending on infrastructure. These corridors keep the capacity to uplift trade, entice overseas investment, and elevate living standards. However, the success of BRI hinges on obvious recommendations that tackle environmental, social, and corruption dangers. The economies in the Belt and road corridors face infrastructure and coverage gaps that hinder development (Zhang et al., 2022). Even as new infrastructure tasks may additionally prefer to bridge these gaps, the excessive costs pose a venture, particularly amid developing public debt. As these BRI regional areas mutually make a contribution over 30% of world GDP, 62% of the sector's populace, and 75% of electrical energy assets, the socioeconomic impact is profound.

3.4. Regional Dynamics and Ambivalence

Whilst financial pastimes pressure cooperation, close by dynamics exhibit ambivalence in the direction of deeper alignment with China. China's infrastructure tasks face political pushbacks, reflecting domestic worries about Chinese impact. As perceived in Malaysia and Indonesia, political leaders have taken use of anti-BRI sentiment to win elections. While Southeast Asian countries depend on China for their economic needs, they are also wary of China becoming an unchallenged hegemon in the region. Views about China's revisionist goals and concerns regarding BRI "debt traps" highlight the region's prudent approach. Aware of the shifting geopolitical environment, Southeast Asian countries are attempting to find a way to engage economically with China while maintaining a buffer against potential Chinese dialectal military supremacy. Their goal is to form strong alliances without upsetting the strategic balance between the United States and China. Foreign Direct investment in remote nations the foundation of the Belt and Road Initiative's financial tactic is FDI, which has the power to enhance productivity, technology transfer, and leisure development in developing countries (Yu et al., 2019).

As China's The Belt and Road Initiative advances alongside traditional and non-traditional security measures, a careful balance must be maintained. Together with the dynamics of foreign direct investment, the economic and alternative elements show the intricate dance of opportunities and dangers that shapes the influence of the Belt and Road Initiative (BRI) on regional development. In the quest of shared prosperity, navigating these intricate interactions is essential to ensuring transparency, promoting sustainable growth, and reducing performance hazards.

3.5. Non-traditional security challenging situations alongside the Silk Road

As China propels its formidable Belt and road Initiative (BRI) in advance, the exploration of non-traditional security (NTS) worrying situations takes middle level. This research dives into the nexus among the BRI and specific NTS uncertainties, particularly the terrorism danger and regional financial integration pressures, as mentioned in modern-day discourse as much as August 2020.

3.6. Terrorism threat to BRI

The terrorism threat emerges as a widespread NTS venture to the BRI's implementation. The game events of the "Three forces" – terrorist, non-secular revolutionaries, and nationalist forces – pose a massive threat to the initiative. Extremist businesses, with numerous political pursuits, organizational forms, and employees' compositions, unite towards secular regimes, advocating for an Islamic nation. The withdrawal of U.S. troops from Afghanistan in 2014 amplifies the chance, doubtlessly main to increased conflict, Islamic extremism, and terrorism affecting neighbourhood stability. Significantly, the East Turkistan Islamic movement (ETIM) poses a proper away danger to Chinese dialectal targets, which include complexity to private and belongings security issues (Freeman et al., 2023).

3.7. Financial Integration strain

China's economic aspirations embedded within the BRI initiative introduce a few different layer of NTS challenges. The BRI's motive is to foster financial and trade ties, necessitating a sensitive stability among improvement and security. Security dangers ought to be controlled to ensure an achievement financial consensus. The need for secure public gadgets, dealing with fantastic powers' interest, and spotting Pakistan's pivotal role come to be necessary. China's efforts to offer public items for regional security governance, no matter limitations in traditional security capability, take form through responsibilities like fleet escort missions and security escorts for lots of ships (Akhter 2023).

3.8. Comprehensive evaluation of the Nexus

China's expanding characteristic in offering for NTS alongside the BRI intricately integrates traditional and non-traditional security components. As of August 2016, China has actively engaged in security cooperation, glaring in fleet escort missions and successful help in maritime security. The complete approach necessitates perception close by needs and actively contributing public items for security governance. At the same time as China's maritime military strength stays a counter-interference strain, its blessings in non-traditional security regions grow to be apparent. Proposals for South China Sea maritime security and the establishment of a "China-ASEAN

Marine Cooperation Fund" in 2011 underscore China's dedication. But, annoying situations persist, with ambiguity inside the software and use of price variety via ASEAN international cooperation's. Within the evolving panorama of the BRI and NTS demanding situations, China emerges no longer totally as financial powerhouse on the other hand as a great participant in offering security solutions. China's distinctiveness alongside the Silk Road is defined by the delicate balance between financial integration and security issues. The investigation as a stunning deal as August 2020 underlines the difficulty in managing NTS challenging conditions, necessitating a careful hold tight and persistent efforts to ensure the BRI's fulfilment (Hameiri et al., 2019).

3.9. Environmental security concerns to BRI

When examining particular instances connected to China's outstanding undertakings, the environmental security issues allied with the Belt and Road Initiative (BRI) convert more frequently mentioned. Significantly, the development of hydroelectric plants and dams, such as the Diamer-Bhasha Dam of the China-Pakistan Economic Corridor (CPEC), raises ecological warnings since of potential disturbances to river systems and wildlife. This 2014-started project serves as an excellent example of the difficulties in striking a balance between environmental preservation and infrastructure expansion. In terms of assistance management, China's investments in robust transit corridors and China-Central Asia Gas pipelines, are interwoven with the advice to extract resources, impacting the dynamics of cooperation and stability (Klinger 2020). The BRI's have an effect on neighbourhood climate alternate is exemplified through China's sizable funding in coal-fired strength flora in taking part nations. For instance, the building of coal-fired flora in Pakistan, beginning in 2017, contributes to greenhouse gas emissions and emphasizes the iconic environmental footprint. Furthermore, the sprawling transportation infrastructure, exemplified by using way of the Belt and road's Maritime Silk Road, calls for a shift inside the route of sustainable practices to mitigate its environmental impact. In public health, China's collaborations inside the BRI, such as healthcare infrastructure improvement in Africa, have been ongoing when you consider that the initiative's launch in 2013, highlighting the manageable for increased healthcare get right of entry to and disorder control. However, questions persist related to the standardization of healthcare practices and equitable aid distribution in these collaborative efforts. These examples, underscore the want for a nuanced understanding of China's characteristic in the BRI's environmental implications, emphasizing the significance of informed selection-making and sustainable development practices on a regional scale (Dong et al., 2018).

3.10. Cybersecurity issues

The digital Silk road (DSR), initiated by using potential of the use of China in 2019, has quit up a focal aspect for non-traditional cybersecurity uncertainties, shaping the worldwide panorama of cyberspace. One large mission lies in archives security, the place the DSR's maturation raises fears of serving as a stress multiplier for Beijing's espionage skills. As of June 2019, debates over the security of international documents mostly focus on issues related to potentially disruptive cyberattacks that take use of China's clout in the digital infrastructures it builds. The deployment of 5G technology, a cornerstone of the DSR, provides ongoing troubles as of August 2020, with China's technological ascendancy through agencies like Huawei redefining financial and social paradigms and blurring traces among each day and security-touchy features (Gordon et al., 2020). Moreover, the DSR introduces a company new financial ruin in regional web governance, tough the winning cyber-space device and merchandising China's 'cyber sovereignty' model, mainly apparent with the Cybersecurity law. This framework asserts a criminal basis for security assessments, elevating troubles about compromising the internet's precise imaginative and prescient of information fluidity. A contentious difficulty revolves spherical the potential export of China's whole domestic surveillance device via the DSR, fostering virtual authoritarianism in BRI accomplice countries, as witnessed in North Africa. the continuing have an impact on of Chinese surveillance technology in BRI countries, exemplified by means of using sports up to April 2019, emphasizes the DSR's characteristic in shaping non-traditional cybersecurity norms regionally (Ram and Zhang 2020).

The complex interaction among technological targets, virtual authoritarianism, and regional cyber governance underscores the need for a nuanced appreciation of the DSR's profound impact on the destiny of cyberspace.

3.11. BRI Security: China's Proactive Policies

China has implemented numerous policies and tasks to address security problems connected to the Belt and road Initiative (BRI). Those security policies' goal to shield the interest of Chinese investments and infrastructure responsibilities remote places even as selling balance and security in the areas whereby BRI initiatives are undertaken. Some key elements of China's hints nearer to BRI security problems embody (Parepa 2020):

4. Security Cooperation

China has emphasized the value of security cooperation with BRI confederate worldwide nations to address not unusual security challenges. As an example, China has engaged in joint military wearing things to do and functionality developing efforts with worldwide Nations which embody Pakistan and Thailand to enhance security collaboration. The ones efforts are aimed towards addressing problems which consists of counter-terrorism, maritime security, and cybersecurity (Barton 2021).

4.1. Risk assessment and Mitigation

Chinese authorities have utilized rigorous threat evaluation and mitigation measures to guard BRI responsibilities from security threats. As an instance, in Pakistan, whereby BRI duties are underway, China has arduous with

neighbourhood authorities to consider security risks and put in pressure measures to mitigate achievable threats to infrastructure and employees (Ram and Zhang 2020).

4.2. Prison Frameworks

China has arduous to set up crook frameworks and bilateral agreements with BRI confederate nations to protect Chinese dialectal investments and employees. As an instance, China has signed agreements with different nations such as Kazakhstan and Indonesia to furnish jail security's for Chinese dialectal investments and cope with security issues. Those agreements often consist of provisions for security cooperation, dispute decision mechanisms, and the security of Chinese dialectal property in host regions (Legarda and Nouwens 2018).

4.3. Infrastructure security

China has prioritized the security of BRI infrastructure tasks, which consists of transportation networks, electricity centres, and telecommunications systems. For example, internal the introduction of the Gwadar port in Pakistan, it is a key BRI challenge, China has carried out security protocols and hazard administration strategies to defend the port from manageable security threats and make sure its smooth operation (Li 2020).

4.4. Diplomatic Engagement

China has engaged in diplomatic efforts to deal with security issues related with the BRI. For example, China has held dialogues with nations in Central Asia to address security demanding situations and promote stability in the vicinity. This diplomatic engagement targets to construct consensus on security issues, promote balance, and cope with ability resources of war (Bushong et al., 2018).

These policies and tasks reflect China's dedication to addressing security concerns related to the BRI and selling an impervious environment for its investments and tasks in accomplice nations. while unique quantitative facts on the effect of these rules won't be easily to be had, the examples furnished display China's proactive policies to addressing security concerns in the context of the BRI.

5. Regional Security Cooperation: Traditional and Non-Traditional Dimensions

The ancient interactions amongst security actors and the evolving security threats inside the Regional zones, have given upward thrust to these essential regional organizations, every contributing to the complex landscape of traditional and non-traditional security dynamics.

5.1. Security Cooperation: Southeast Asian

In Southeast Asia, the Association of Southeast Asian Nations (ASEAN) has taken a proactive policies to regional security cooperation. Spotting individual weaknesses, ASEAN has endeavoured to establish dominant traditional and non-traditional security cooperation mechanisms. Put up-bloodless battle, the security scenario in Southeast Asia underwent huge modifications, prompting the advent of mechanisms just like the ASEAN regional discussion board (ARF) and the Council for security Cooperation in the Asian Pacific (CSCAP). These mechanisms address each traditional and non-traditional security issues towards BRI, solidifying the place's dedication to a comprehensive security network (Bettani and Ahmed 2023).

5.2. Security Cooperation: Persian Gulf and South Asia

The Gulf Cooperation Council (GCC) in the Middle East and the Persian Gulf area prioritizes military and defence cooperation amongst its six members. Searching for self-security at some stage in crises, those nations have engaged in alliances with Western powers at the same time as concurrently strengthening security cooperation inside the GCC. Amid complexities concerning Iraq, the Iranian nuclear problem, and different pressures, the Gulf nations have improved their security identification, fostering collaboration to keep balance, which directly or indirectly effected the BRI (Mushtaq and Shad 2022).

5.3. Security Cooperation: Indian Ocean West Coast Routes

Security cooperation inside the Indian Ocean West Coast mainly revolves round countering piracy off Africa's east coast. The risk of piracy, mainly within the Gulf of Aden, prompted the development of a multi-degree anti-piracy system (KILIÇ and KAYA 2017). The regional community, consisting of China, actively participates in naval escort missions authorized via the UN Security Council. Resolutions which include 1816, 1838, 1846, 1851, and 1897 underscore the collaborative efforts in addressing piracy, demonstrating a success regional security cooperation via each traditional and non-traditional security capability regarding BRI (Haiquan 2017).

These close by security cooperation mechanisms illustrate the interconnectedness of traditional and non-traditional security concerns, emphasizing the want for complete strategies to cope with the various challenges inner each area alongside the only Belt One road initiative.

5.4. Security Futures of BRI

The policy guidelines and implications addressing the security concerns and the wider context of traditional and non-traditional security challenges inside The Belt and Road initiative. First of all, the BRI represents a departure from China's traditional method to international affairs, signalling a more assertive and open method. However, regardless of Beijing's insistence on the BRI having no geopolitical motivations, the facts highlights three tremendous geopolitical concerns: balance in western regions and borderlands, energy security, and leveraging decreased U.S. interest in Southeast Asia. This reputation underscores the geopolitical dimensions inherent in the BRI, difficult the narrative of China as an awesome energy besides geopolitical goals in future.

Secondly, the BRI raises questions about China's dedication to the belief of 'non-interference in other geographical regions' native matters. The massive outward flow of stuff, agencies, country-owned companies, and those into BRI companion nations necessitates security and refuge. The precept of non-interference, whilst a starting point, might not be sustainable in the face of complicated realities, as visible in times like China's engagement with Baloch militants in Pakistan and ethnic armies in Myanmar. This demanding situations China's ability to stick strictly in future to non-interference because it navigates the numerous environments of player countries.

Thirdly, the facts points out that China's treatment of its Muslim population, particularly the Uighur community in Xinjiang, may affect the BRI's security panorama in future. The probability of turning into a target for Muslim radicals in Central and South Asia and Southeast Asia is contingent on Beijing's method towards its Muslim population. The ability for elevated scrutiny and resistance from Muslim-majority nations poses a task to China's efforts to tightly close its interests along the BRI.

Ultimately, Southeast Asia emerges as a regional wherein China seeks to leverage the BRI to reorient states far from their long-status ties with the U.S. and its associates. The negation of the Trans-Pacific Partnership by way of the U.S. and issues approximately the continuity of U.S. commitments inside the area create opportunities for China. But the facts highlight the task for Beijing in calibrating its actions (Freeman et al., 2023). A persevered assertive technique in the South China Sea, a traditional security difficulty, may counteract China's preference to be the necessary financial accomplice, doubtlessly generating resentment and backlash. This suggests a favour for Beijing to focal point on the financial realm and win-win results for a greater profitable BRI in Southeast Asia. Normal, the facts illustrates the hard interaction amongst China's BRI, normal security concerns, and evolving geopolitical dynamics, posing each possibilities and demanding situations for China and the taking element states.

6. Conclusion

In conclusion, China's Belt and Road Initiative (BRI) represents a complicated interaction of monetary improvement and problematic security considerations. The multifaceted panorama includes traditional challenges such as geopolitical rivalries, territorial disputes, and army strategies, alongside non-traditional problems like terrorism threats, environmental sustainability, and cybersecurity concerns. China's proactive policies, which include security cooperation, risk assessment, prisoner's frameworks, and diplomatic engagement, underscore its dedication to safeguarding investments and fostering collaboration with associate nations. The success of the BRI hinges on the subtle stability between possibilities and risks, requiring nuanced decision-making and sustainable improvement practices. The initiative's influence on regional geopolitics and collaborating states will be formed by way of China's potential to navigate these challenges, emphasizing the tricky nature of its bold venture in reshaping financial and safety dynamics on a regional scale.

References

- Akhtar, Nadeem, Hidayat Ullah Khan hidayat, Cornelius B. Pratt, M Asif Jan , and Ma Jianfu. 2021. "Exploring the Determinants of the China-Pakistan Economic Corridor and Its Impact on Local Communities." Sage 11 (4). doi:<https://doi.org/10.1177/21582440211057127>.
- Xie, Niyun, Aili Chen, Xiaolin Wang , and Xiaoying Zhan. 2023. "Does the BRI contribute to poverty reduction in countries along the Belt and Road? A DID-based empirical test." *Humanities and Social Sciences Communications* 872. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1057/s41599-023-02365-8>.
- Akhter, M. J. 2023. "Chinese Investments in Maritime Sectors of Oman and UAE: Transitioning Realities." *Policy Perspectives* 20 (1): 1-15. <https://www.scienceopen.com/hosted-document?doi=10.13169/polipers.20.1.ra5>.
- An , Jingjing , and Yanzen Wang. 2023. "The Impact of the Belt and Road Initiative on Chinese International Political Influence: An Empirical Study Using a Difference-in-Differences Approach." *Journal of Chinese Political Science*. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1007/s11366-023-09860-4>.
- Barton, B. 2021. "The Belt-and-Road Initiative as a paradigm change for European Union-China security cooperation? The case of Central Asia." *Asia Europe Journal* 19 (3): 391-409. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10308-021-00606-6>.
- Bettani, S.A, and Z. S Ahmed. 2023. "China and Regional Security in South and Southeast Asia: A Comparative Analysis of ASEAN and SAARC." *JAS (Journal of ASEAN Studies)* 11 (2). doi:<https://doi.org/10.21512/jas.v11i2.9600>.
- Bushong, J, K DeNap, and T Yang. 2018. "Understanding and Responding to China's Belt and Road Initiative: Developing an American Policy Response." *Washington Journal of Modern China* 14: 96. <https://openurl.ebsco.com/EPDB%3Aged%3A8%3A18273128/detailv2?sid=ebsco%3Aplink%3Ascholar&id=ebsco%3Aged%3A131014525&crl=c>.
- Dong, L, X Yang, and H Li. 2018. "The Belt and Road Initiative and the 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development: seeking linkages for global environmental governance." *Chinese Journal of Population Resources and Environment* 16 (3): 203-210. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1080/10042857.2018.1487745>.
- Eggleton, O. 2021. "The Great Game of the twenty-first century: Can Europe compete?" *Australian and New Zealand Journal of European Studies* 13 (1). doi: <https://doi.org/10.30722/anzjes.vol13.iss1.15484>.

- Freeman, C, B Gill, and A McFarland,. 2023. China and the Reshaping of Global Conflict Prevention Norms. US Institute of Peace . https://www.usip.org/sites/default/files/2023-09/pw-190_china-reshaping-global-conflict-prevention-norms.pdf.
- Gong, X. 2020. "Non-traditional security cooperation between China and south-east Asia: implications for Indo-Pacific geopolitics." *International Affairs* 96 (1): 29-48. <https://academic.oup.com/ia/article-abstract/96/1/29/5697519?login=false>.
- Gordon, D.F, H Tong, and T Anderson. 2020. Beyond the Myths—Towards a Realistic Assessment of China’s Belt and Road Initiative: The Security Dimension. International Institute for Strategic Studies. <https://ispmyanmarinchinadesk.com/wp-content/uploads/2020/12/BRI-Report-Two-Beyond-the-Myths-Towards-a-Realistic-Assessment-of-Chinas-Belt-and-Road-Initiative.pdf>.
- Haiquan, L. 2017. "The security challenges of the "one belt, one road" initiative and China’s choices." *Croatian International Relations Review* 23 (78): 129-147. <https://hrcak.srce.hr/clanak/274067>.
- Hameiri, S, L Jones, and Y Zou. 2019. "The development-insecurity nexus in China’s near-abroad: Rethinking cross-border economic integration in an era of state transformation." *Journal of Contemporary Asia* 49 (3): 473-499. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1080/00472336.2018.1502802>.
- Holzner, M, P Heimberger,, and A Kochnev. 2018. A" European Silk Road". Vienna, Austria: Vienna Institute for International Economic Studies. https://www.researchgate.net/profile/Philipp-Heimberger/publication/327155831_A_European_Silk_Road/links/5b7d340092851c1e1226c542/A-European-Silk-Road.pdf.
- Iqbal, Zaeheema. 2018. Non-Traditional Security Threats To Belt & Road Initiative (BRI) And Pakistan Pivots’ Role – OpEd. 10 May. <https://www.eurasiareview.com/10052018-non-traditional-security-threats-to-belt-road-initiative-bri-and-pakistan-pivots-role-oped/>.
- Jiang, Yuan. 2022. "Unpacking the Belt and Road Initiative: Does Its Public Diplomacy Narratives Match Its Implementation?" *East Asia* 39: 315–331. <https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s12140-022-09386-1>.
- KILIÇ, F, and T. Ö KAYA. 2017. "Sino-Indian strategic rivalry in the Indian ocean region." *Insan ve Toplum* 7 (2): 155-172. <https://dergipark.org.tr/en/pub/insanvetoplum/issue/71110/1139690>.
- Klinger, J. M. 2020. "Environment, development, and security politics in the production of Belt and Road spaces." *Territory, Politics, Governance* 8 (5): 657-675. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1080/21622671.2019.1582358>.
- Kwong, Y. H, and M. Y Wong. 2020. "International linkages, geopolitics, and the Belt and Road Initiative: A comparison of four island territories." *Island Studies Journal* 15 (2): 131-154. <https://islandstudiesjournal.org/article/84613.pdf>.
- Legarda, H, and M Nouwens. 2018. Guardians of the Belt and Road. Berlin: Mercator Institute for Chinese Studies. https://merics.org/sites/default/files/2020-04/180815_ChinaMonitor_Guardians_final.pdf.
- Li, M. 2020. "The Belt and Road Initiative: geo-economics and Indo-Pacific security competition." *International Affairs* 96 (1): 169-187. <https://academic.oup.com/ia/article-abstract/96/1/169/5697484>.
- Mushtaq, M.S, and M. R Shad. 2022. "Belt and Road Initiative in South Asia." *Strategic Studies* 42 (2): 72-86. <https://www.jstor.org/stable/48732351>.
- Nishimura, M. 2022. "The BRI in a Multipolar World: A Normative Tool for Cooperation or Nationalism?. In *New Nationalisms and China's Belt and Road Initiative: Exploring the Transnational Public Domain.*" In *New Nationalisms and China's Belt and Road Initiative*, by Julien Rajaoson and R. Mireille Manga Edimo, 13-24. Palgrave Macmillan Cham. https://link.springer.com/chapter/10.1007/978-3-031-08526-0_2.
- Parepa, L. A. 2020. "The Belt and Road Initiative as continuity in Chinese foreign policy." *Journal of Contemporary East Asia Studies* 9 (2): 175-201. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1080/24761028.2020.1848370>.
- Pu, X. 2016. "One Belt, One Road: visions and challenges of China’s geoeconomic strategy." *Mainland China Studies* 59 (3): 111-132. https://d1wqtxts1xzle7.cloudfront.net/60597707/One_Belt_One_Road_visions_and_challenges_of_Chinas_geoeconomic_strategy20190914-48462-16nyk1b.pdf?1568520030=&response-content-disposition=inline%3B+filename%3DOne_Belt_One_Road_visions_and_challenges.pdf&Ex.
- Puślecki, Z. W. 2019. "The directions of development of the new Chinese 'Belt and Road Initiative'(BRI)." *Political Science Review* (2): 33-54. <https://www.ceeol.com/search/article-detail?id=777290>.
- Ram, J, and Z Zhang. 2020. "Belt and road initiative (BRI) supply chain risks: propositions and model development." *The International Journal of Logistics Management* 31 (4): 777-799. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1108/IJLM-12-2019-0366>.
- Rolland, N, F Boni, M Nouwens, N Samaranayake, G Khurana, and A Tarapore. 2019. "Where the Belt meets the Road: security in a contested South Asia." *Asia policy* 4 (2): 1-41. https://www.nbr.org/wp-content/uploads/pdfs/publications/asiapolicy14-2_where_the_belt_meets_the_road_rt_apr2019.pdf.

- Shah, A. R. 2023. "Revisiting China threat: the US's securitization of the 'Belt and Road Initiative.'" *Chinese Political Science Review* 8 (1): 84-104. <https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s41111-021-00179-0>.
- Sun, X, J Gao, B Liu, and Z Wang. 2021. "Big data-based assessment of political risk along the belt and road." *Sustainability* 13 (7): 3935. <https://www.mdpi.com/2071-1050/13/7/3935>.
- Wang, X., Shang, X., & Xu, L. 2011. "Subjective well-being poverty of the elderly population in China." *Social Policy & Administration* 45 (6): 714-731. <https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1111/j.1467-9515.2011.00804.x>.
- Yu, S, X Qian, and T Liu. 2019. "Belt and road initiative and Chinese firms' outward foreign direct investment." *Emerging Markets Review* (41): 100629. <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/abs/pii/S1566014118303467>.
- Zaki, Z, G Tian, and M. Y Amini. 2023. "Literature Review on Belt and Road Initiative's Integration for Trade Connectivity of Afghanistan." *Open Journal of Business and Management* 11 (6): 3287-3312. <https://www.scirp.org/journal/paperinformation?paperid=129292>.
- Zhang, C, M Zhang, and C Xiao. 2022. "From traditional infrastructure to new infrastructure: a new focus of China's Belt and Road Initiative diplomacy?" *Eurasian Geography and Economics* 63 (3): 424-443. <https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.1080/15387216.2022.2039740>.
- Zhimin, Wang. 2014. "Moderator's introduction to the workshop." Perking University. <https://www.bing.com/ck/a?!&&p=3ece4f54054ea1f5JmltdHM9MTcwNjY1OTIwMCZpZ3VpZD0yMzgyOWMzNC0wMzdkLTY3MzktMzlhZC04ZmRhMDI3NDY2ZDMmaW5zaWQ9NTE3NQ&ptn=3&ver=2&hsh=3&fclid=23829c34-037d-6739-39ad-8fda027466d3&psq=Nurly+Zhol%2c%22++by+Professor+Wang+Zhimin+&u=a1>.