Effectiveness of Students' Self-Efficacy Level as Motivational Factor for the Learning Achievement of Secondary Grader Students

Zainab Iftikhar[1], Shafqat Ali[2], Tariq Mahmood[3], Safia Rehmat Ullah[4], Anila[5]

ABSTRACT

Focus point of this study was to know the effect of students' self-efficacy on their learning accomplishment. To address the targeted focus point it was mentioned that there was no impact of self-efficacy on students' learning in school education. Information was collected from four hundred (400) students which were selected from district Narowal randomly. A poll of questions on five-point Likert scale was utilized for information collection. The gathered information was sorted and analyzed through SPSS programming by utilizing ANOVA investigation. On the basis of information, it was reasoned that there was reasonable distinction among various self-efficacy level and learning level in science subjects in all the learners of secondary class. On further clarification, it was found that male students with various self-efficacy level performed quite differently from one another regarding their learning level in science subjects. In the same way, level of self-efficacy of female students resulted a high difference on their learning level. Keeping in view the facts and figures it was suggested that science educators ought to be directed and prepared to keep up with elevated degree of self-efficacy among students for better execution of teaching learning process.

Keywords: Self-Efficacy, Science Motivation, Teaching Learning Process.

1. Introduction

Inspiration is an inward capacity, which helps a person to accomplish something advantageous and to achieve a few determined objectives. Inspiration has huge linkage with accomplishment of students. Inspiration guides learners to buckle down accomplishment of their objectives. There are numerous parts of inspiration to quantify inspiration level of students. As indicated by Glyn and Koballa (2006), inspiration is contained following five significant parts i.e., inspiration, self-assurance, self-adequacy, grade inspiration and profession inspiration. Inspiration depends on the impulse of wanted needs of a person as a source as well as numerous different factors, for example, beliefs, mentality, interest and desire of a person towards an effect or activity of inspiration.

It is depicted that every individual has different degree of inspiration, as indicated by the circumstance. Inspiration for science subjects is portrayed each craving of learning science by students, arranging and beginning their advantage for learning of science subjects under persuasive impact. It is exceptionally vital for exploiting the motivation level of students. It is also depicted that inspiration is multi-faceted and complex that influences the insight of a person to move for better learning. Project-based technique is vital and compelling when contrasted with other showing strategies in science education. It is additionally seen that project-based educating; the exercises ought to be organized and performed external the schools since it is advantageous for creating cooperation and social development of students.

Woolfolk and Margetts (2007) were of the view that inspiration together, commitment to science is necessary for the improvement of learning results of all students. Inspiration is an essential and a fundamental part for students' commitment in learning. Students' consideration in training doesn't just end in itself, yet it is a necessary evil of student's prosperity and tutoring result. Genuine commitment is huge for higher tutoring accomplishment all through student's life (Zyngier, 2008). The educator ought to be aware and resolve the issues and issues of youthful students and organize appealing schools (Meyer, 2010).

Responsibility is to recognize appearances of convinced need of learning works. Responsibility is a motivation to taught and driven desires or necessities, as typical practices consolidate attitude, obsession, the organization of time, focus upon the essential contemplations and objections, and the planning (de Bilde et al, 2011). There are three unique kinds of responsibility - close to home, direct and scholarly: regardless, to concentrate in a general sense habitat upon rousing inciting social responsibility. These are favorable to social practices showed through interest in school-based activities, related to extra-curricular activities and really focusing on a part of information past the review lobby out of near and dear income (Pulfrey, Buchs and Butera, 2011).

Akram, Norman and Mahmood (2015) recommend that every one of the four subtypes of student responsibility should be seen as together while attempting to grasp and work on students' insightful responsibility. Besides, a hereditary responsibility is likewise proposed by Reeve and Tseng (2011). Loaded with feeling, scholarly and rehearses

---

[1] Qila Ahmed Abad, District Narowal, Pakistan
2 Associate Professor of Education, Minhaj University, Lahore, Pakistan
[3] Corresponding Author, Headmaster, Govt. High School Chicherwali, Sialkot, Pakistan, Email: tariq_903@htmail.com
4 Government Girls High School Sham Ki Bhattian, Lahore, Pakistan
5 The City Public School, Pasrur, Sialkot, Pakistan

responsibility are proclaimed as joining to exhort rehearses trademark in regard to student responsibility (Reschly and Christenson, 2012). Inside each, responsibility rehearses are viewed as begun by mental responses and genuine exercises upheld by moving forms (Skinner and Pitzer, 2012).

In human execution, viability is a significant component. It affects objectives and plans profound with expectations of results and social impression of plausibility. Individuals acknowledge that they can do anything with their abilities (Bong and Skaalvik, 2003). Content of self-efficacy impacts a singular longing and responsibility in different circumstances, remembering decisive reasoning inspiration for the essence of impairments and difficulties.

Students' substance in regard to their abilities to do scholarly exercises effectively, for example their imagination self-viability content, is a strong indicator of their ability to get done with those responsibilities effectively in the hypothetical setting. Precipitation of students of their capacity to change their learning and expert world exercises impact their inspiration and scholastic achievement. Assuming that students help feelings of skill control and adequacy, they are distrusted to act. As per (Elliot and Dweck, 2005), Scholarly learning and accomplishment are fundamental inspiration factors. In the commitment of scholastic achievement, inspiration is most one element. Guardians and partners ought to endeavor to comprehend the significance of inspiration to advance and support scholastic inspiration from the get-go throughout every day (Moula 2010).

Feldman (2005) Inspiration is basic part which energize towards the way of behaving of people and different creatures and sees inspiration as the technique what starts direct and upholds physiological requirements. Inspiration is likewise viewed as what makes all the difference for one, what gets one moving, and figure out where one is going (Slavin 2006).

Outside adjusting in like manner worked with for persevering through ramifications for students' mental abilities in that student experienced more extreme contextualized, involved science preparing as unique individuals. Another benefit was that a student's normal experiences filled in as a foundation for continued to learn in the review (Hattie, 2012). It contains an inner mental drive inciting movement, for instance responsibility rehearses (Abrahams, 2011).

There are parts influencing understudy inspiration and progress of understudies considerably founded on responding trial and capable getting the hang of showing techniques in schooling settings (Reeve, 2012). The examinations show persuasive components: Characteristic and outward direction innately upright and contribution of family ancestry partners pressure, self-viability trusts focused esteem connection to proportionate wretchedness self-guideline and interior of points astute assessment, learning strategies, showing style and school conditions (Singh, Granvilla and Dika, 2002).

For instance, the school climate improves inspiration and information when it is free, safeguarded, positive and qualified. Instructors assume a central part since they are a fundamental piece of the school climate (Ryan and Deci, 2009). Writing shows that information on educators and abilities, inspiration level, training, types of assessment, showing approach, nature of movement, and energy can accord to the student's inspiration. In educating and surveying which educators are persuaded, taught and great person those instructors will improve the understudy's inspiration to learning (Williams and Williams, 2011).

Natural Inspiration is a cycle wherein any variables teach anyone to a specific mentality come from an inward world so in the manner self - coordinated comes beyond anyone (Ural 2009). Interest, marvel and needs are the focal starting points of innate inspiration. Any move initiated by inborn explanation and coming about because of the archives are innately satisfying for anybody thusly there is no requirement for extra causative or discipline (Şen 2006).

Accordingly, this conduct every now and again gives inward results as individual perceptions that are significant to the understudies (Erdogan 2013). Inborn Inspiration is connected with the presence of movement as well as the joy and fulfillment that accompanies it. Scholastic inborn inspiration is basic to scholarly achievement capacity and learning. Natural Inspiration has been exhibited in the writing to exist in three structures: execution information schooling feeling. Thought, wonder learning objectives, natural scholarly, and characteristic inspiration to learn are instances of inherent inspiration to be aware. (Mitra, and Serriere, 2012).

Natural inspiration to looker on well request emerges when somebody takes part in a minute with the end goal of perception well request sentiments followed upheld to the movement (Zyngier, 2011). This kind of natural inspiration has been reads up for its empowering and programmed achievement vibe of fervor in characteristic inspiration energizing about of magnificence onlooker and corner spectator.

This human drive is connected to self - assurance hypothesis which is worried about empowering an internal craving to act in a dependable way. Freedom limit and association are totally tended to by self-assurance. Freedom alludes to a perspective about self-guideline and discretion. Students who need opportunity might be a substance disappointment since they are reluctant to acknowledge what they want or ought to do. About the human spirit is a capacity idea pointed toward dealing with the results and dominance over the substance (Zimmerman and Schunk, 2008). It's fundamental since the movement's happiness is dependent upon it. While capability and autonomy are expected for natural driven and adding interest, association one more degree of significant worth to the job of support. A longing

to act and be connected to others is alluded to as association. As indicated by past examination, these self-assurance sub-develops are basic to the outcome and interaction of learning. Students are provided more decision in sorting out with their way of picking up drawing in and range while web-based learning parts is welcomed on which raises the job of self-assurance.

Besides, the absence of cooperation instruction of guardians might destructively affect the impression of without culmination and absence of evaluation for the material the youngsters read since review portray that there is a basic connection between guardians' support and kids tutoring inspiration and instructive turn of events. As per Sasson (2019), to be less of inspiration relegate imperfect enthusiasm of level of upbeat in to do an undertaking. In addition, inspiration might be explained as an unfeeling way of behaving that one toward is expected to accomplish (Deci and Ryan 2000).

Understudies lose inspiration since they don't get a handle on the thing they are learning in class (Barse, 2015). Low level of understudies' affirmation neglected trusts in class unrewarding and unsupported by the high tension and the family because of understudies to be less persuaded results of learning in awful tutoring evaluation. As indicated by (Hattie, 2012) Understudies come by unmotivated because of instructor questionable conveyance illustration low confidence disengage with the gaining and your worries from understudies.

2. Methods, Materials and Results

It is seen that students having self-efficacy figure out how to draw in themselves for manageable learning, focuses on the advancing and afterward attempting to accomplish the foreordained focuses in picking up and zeroing in regarding the matter with dedication and never consented to surrender the work in any unfavorable circumstance. Consequently, the current research to see the impact of student's self-efficacy on learning level would give observational proof about the significance of self-efficacy for students. Therefore, data collected from 400 students by using instrument SMQ-II created by Shawn M. Glynn, University of Georgia, USA. The gathered information was analyzed to get results which are given in the tables beneath:

Table 1: Effectiveness of Self-efficacy Level for Science Learning among Students

	S. of S.	df	M. Square	F	Sig.
Between	3969.493	2	1819.861	15.001	.002
Within	49083.469	390	131.912		
Total	52952.962	392			

Above examination investigate the effect of self-efficacy inspiration level i.e. low, moderate, and high and their impact on learning level of science students.

Table highlighted ANOVA results among various levels of self-efficacy inspiration and accomplishment of students' science learning. Above table clarified a huge contrast Sig. =0.002 in students having self-efficacy levels different from one another. Hence, students performed differently with different efficacy levels in their learning performance.

Table 2: Effectiveness of Self-efficacy for Science Learning among Students

	Efficacy Level	N	Mean	S.D.	M.D	Sig.
1	Low	12	50.398	5.982	14.3455*	.009
	Moderate	54	41.793	9.785		
2	Low	12	50.398	5.982	5.7957	.372
	High	327	45.339	12.991		
3	High	327	45.339	12.991	8.5498*	.010
	Moderate	54	41.793	9.785		

Tukey Post hoc test was applied to know further explanation about contrast of various self-efficacy inspiration levels and learning accomplishment in science subjects, which showed that there was massive distinction between different levels.

Facts highlighted comparison of various self-efficacy inspiration levels and learning accomplishment in science subjects. Values demonstrated that low self-efficacy inspiration level (M=50.398, SD=5.982) was fundamentally unique in relation to moderate self-efficacy inspiration level (M=41.793, SD=9.785) having p=.000. Low self-efficacy inspiration (M=50.398, SD=5.982) was same as High self-efficacy inspiration level (M=45.339, SD=12.991) having p= .212. Moderate self-efficacy inspiration level (M=41.793, SD=9.785) contrasted from High self-efficacy inspiration level (M=45.339, SD=12.991) as having p= .000.

Table 3: Effectiveness of Self-efficacy for Science Learning among Male Students

Groups	Sum of Squares	Df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
Between	94.017	2	57.508	.354	.737
Within	18911.876	125	162.298		
Total	18166.892	127			

Table provides examination of effect of self-efficacy level upon learning accomplishment by male students in science learning. Self-efficacy was separated into three levels.

Table highlighted effects of ANOVA among various self-efficacy levels and accomplishment of students' science achievement. Above table clarified a strong distinction (f=0.354, Sig. =0.737) in students with different self-efficacy on the learning accomplishment of male students' science learning.

Table 4: Effectiveness of Self-efficacy for Science Learning among Male Students

	Efficacy level	N	Mean	S.D.	M.D	Sig.
1	Low	7	39.335	9.359	3.729	.697
	Moderate	27	37.641	10.334		
2	Low	7	39.335	9.359	1.239	.893
	High	96	38.329	10.991		
3	High	96	38.329	10.991	-1.391	.931
	Moderate	27	37.641	10.334		

Post hoc analysis by Tukey HSD clarified further comprehension of impact various self-efficacy levels and learning accomplishment of male students' science learning.

Analysis figures highlighted examination of various self-efficacy of students and learning accomplishment of male science students. Comparison depicted that low self-efficacy (M=39.335, SD=9.359) was not importantly unique in relation to moderate self-efficacy (M=37.641, SD=10.334) having p=.697 and was additionally also not different when compared with high self-efficacy (M=38.329, SD=10.991) with p= .893. Moderate self-efficacy (M=37.641, SD=10.334) was also same as of high self-efficacy (M=38.329, SD=10.991) with p = .931.

Table 5: Effectiveness of Self-efficacy for Science Learning among Female Students

Groups	Sum of Squares	Df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
Between	3514.957	2	1757.479	19.327	.001
Within	24981.780	260	96.084		
Total	28496.738	262			

Table highlights ANOVA results regarding self-efficacy and science learning. As the self-efficacy was categorized into three levels also. Three levels were low, moderate and high levels. ANOVA figures highlighted various levels of students' self-efficacy and accomplishment of female students' science learning. Above table demonstrated that there was huge distinction (f=19.327, Sig. =.001) among students with different self-efficacy on female students' science learning.

Table 6: Effectiveness of Self-efficacy for Science Learning among Female Students

	Efficacy levels	N	Mean	S. D.	M.D	Sig.
1	Low	31	49.353	7.913	15.923[*]	.000
	Moderate	25	36.718	9.939		
2	Low	31	49.353	7.913	2.983	.427
	High	207	47.929	9.119		
3	High	207	47.929	9.119	-11.110[*]	.000
	Moderate	25	36.718	9.939		

Post hoc analysis by Tukey HSD clarified further comprehension of impact various self-efficacy levels and learning accomplishment of male students' science learning.

Analysis figures highlighted examination of various self-efficacy of students and learning accomplishment of female students. Results clarified low self-efficacy (M=49.353, SD=7.913) performed reasonably better than other students having moderate self-efficacy (M=36.718, SD=9.939) having p= .000 and on the other hand, Low and high level of

self-efficacy students performed at the same level. Moderate self-efficacy (M=36.718, SD=9.939) was essentially very low in performance according to the performance level of high self-efficacy (M=47.929, SD=9.119) with p= .000.

3. Conclusion/Major Findings

The study concluded that there was massive distinction between lower two levels of self-efficacy as inspiration on learning accomplishment in science. Further, there was tremendous distinction among low and moderate self-efficacy inspiration on learning accomplishment of students especially male. A huge distinction among low and moderate self-adequacy level on learning accomplishment of female students. Furthermore, it was found that there was tremendous distinction among students having self-efficacy at low and moderate level on learning accomplishment in science among female students.

References

Abrahams, I. (2011). *Practical Work in Secondary Science: A Minds-On Approach.* London: Continuum.

Akram, R. Norman, R., Mahmood, N. (2015). *Motivation and science performance: influence on student learning in science.* 27. Pp. 1445–1452. Accessed on 16-10-2021 https://www.researchgate.net/publication/334626435_MOTIVATION_AND_SCIENCE_PERFORMANCE_INFLUENCE_ON_STUDENT_LEARNING_IN_SCIENCE.

Barse, M. (2015). Schools to blame for unmotivated students. Accessed from https://sciencenordic.com/children-and-adolescents-denmark-learning/schools-to-blame-forunmotivated-students/1421116.

Bong, M., & Skaalvik, E. M. (2003). Academic self-concept and self-efficacy: How different are they really? *Educational Psychology Review,* 15, 1-39.

De-Bilde, J., Vansteenkiste, M., Lens, W. (2011). Understanding the association between future time perspective and self-regulated learning through the lens of self-determination theory. *Learning and Instruction,* 21, 332–344. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.learninstruc.2010.03.002

Deci, E. L., & Ryan, R. M. (2000). The "what" and "why" of goal pursuits: Human needs and the self-determination of behavior. *Psychological Inquiry,* 11, 227–268. doi:10.1207/S15327965PLI1104_01

Elliott, A.J., & Dweck, C.S. (2005). *Handbook of competence and motivation.* New York: Guilford Press

Erdoğan, B. (2013). *The effect of adaptive learning management system on student's satisfaction, motivation and achievement in online learning* (Unpublished Doctoral dissertation). Ankara University, Ankara.

Feldman, R. S. (2005). *Understanding Psychology 7th E.* New York: McGraw Hill Higher Education.

Glynn, S. M., & Koballa, T. R. (2006). Motivation to learn in college science. In J. J. Mintzes & W. H. Leonard (Eds.), *Handbook of college science teaching* (pp. 25–32). Arlington, VA: NSTA Press.

Hattie, J. A. (2012). *Visible Learning for Teachers: Maximizing Impact on Learning.* London: Routledge. https://doi.org/10.4324/9780203181522

Meyer, E. J. (2010). Transforming school cultures. *Gender and Sexual Diversity in Schools,* X, 121-139. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-90-481-8559-7_7

Mitra, D. L., & Serriere, S. C. (2012). Student Voice in Elementary School Reform Examining Youth Development in Fifth Graders. *American Educational Research Journal,* 49(4), 743-774. http://dx.doi.org/10.3102/0002831212443079

Muola, J. M. (2010). A Study of the Relationship between Academic Achievement Motivation and Home Environment among Standard Eight Pupils. *Educational Research and Reviews,* 5(5), 213-217.

Pulfrey, C., Buchs, C., & Butera, F. (2011). Why grades engender performance-avoidance goals: The mediating role of autonomous motivation. *Journal of Educational Psychology,* 103(3), 783-700.

Reeve, J. (2012). A Self-determination Theory Perspective on Student Engagement. In S. L. Christenson, A. L. Reschly and C. Wylie (Eds.), *The Handbook of Research on Student Engagement* (pp. 149 – 172). New York: Springer Science. Accessed on 16-10-2021. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4614-2018-7_7

Reeve, J., Tseng, C. M. (2011). Agency as a fourth aspect of students' engagement during learning activities. *Contemporary Educational Psychology, 36*(4), pp. 257-267. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cedpsych.2011.05.002

Reschly, A. L., & Christenson, S.L. (2012). Jingle, Jangle, and Conceptual Haziness: Evolution and Future Directions of the Engagement Construct. In S. L. Christenson, A. L. Reschly and C. Wylie (Eds.), *The Handbook of Research on Student Engagement* (pp. 3– 20). New York: Springer Science. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4614-2018-7_1

Ryan, R. M., & Deci, E. L. (2009). Promoting self-determined school engagement: Motivation, learning, and well-being. In K. R. Wentzel & A. Wigfield (Eds.), *Handbook on motivation at school.* (pp. 171-196). New York: Routledge.

Sasson, R. (2019). *Lack of motivation and enthusiasm.* Accessed from https://www.successconsciousness.com/lack-motivation-enthusiasm.htm

Şen, M. (2006). *Effects of English lessons, based on multiple intelligence theory, on students' motivation, self-efficacy, self-esteem and multiple intelligences*. (Unpublished Master dissertation). Ankara University, Ankara.

Singh, K., Granville, M., & Dika, S. (2002). Mathematics and science achievement: Effects of motivation, interest, and academic engagement. *The Journal of Educational Research,* 95, 323–332. http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/00220670209596607.

Skinner, E. A., Pitzer, J. R. (2012). Developmental Dynamics of Student Engagement, Coping and Everyday Resilience. In S. L. Christenson, A. L. Reschly and C. Wylie (Eds.), *The Handbook of Research on Student Engagement* (pp. 21 - 44). New York: Springer Science. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4614-2018-7_2

Slavin, D. (2006). *The Educational Psychology: Theory into Practice.* Eaglewood Cliff, N.J.: Prentice Hall.

Ural, M. N. (2009). *The effect of entertaining and motivational properties of educational games to academic achievement and motivation* (Unpublished Doctoral dissertation). Anadolu University, Eskişehir

Williams, K. C., & Williams, C. C. (2011). Five key ingredients for improving student motivation. *Research in Higher Education Journal,* 12(1), 11-12.

Woolfolk, A., & Margetts, K. (2007). *Educational psychology.* NSW, Australia: Pearson. Prentice Hall.

Zimmerman, B. J., Schunk, D. H. (2008). Motivation. An essential dimension of self-regulated learning. In D. H. Schunk & B. J. Zimmerman (Eds.), *Motivation and self-regulated learning theory, research and applications* (pp. 1–30). New York: Lawrence: Erlbaum.

Zyngier, D. (2008). Re-conceptualizing student engagement: Doing education not doing time. *Teaching and Teacher Education,* 24, 1765-1776. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.tate.2007.09.004

Zyngier, D. (2011). Re-conceptualising risk: left numb and unengaged and lost in a no-man's-land or what (seems to) work for at-risk students. *International Journal of Inclusive Education,* 15(2), 211-231. http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/13603110902781427